Mound Laboratory

Mound Laboratory (Mound Facility)

ADDRESS:	Monsanto Research Corporation/ Mound P.O. Box 32 Miamisburg, OH 45342 513/865-4020
LOCATION:	Miamisburg, Ohio, south of Day- ton; 180-acre site ²
MISSION:	The production of non-nuclear components of nuclear weapons, development of processes for the nuclear weapons program, and surveillance testing of explosive and nuclear components
MANAGEMENT:	GOCO facility operated for DOE by Monsanto Research Corpora- tion, a wholly owned subsidiary of Monsanto Chemical Company. Administered by Albuquerque Operations Office
ESTABLISHMENT:	Organization established 1948 in Dayton, Ohio; permanent facility construction begun 1947
BUDGET:	\$216.0 million, (FY 1986)
PERSONNEL:	2364 (March 1985)
FACILITIES:	(see text)

History

Mound Laboratory originated as a technical organization in 1943 in Dayton, Ohio, when Monsanto Chemical Company's Central Research Department took responsibility for determining the chemical and metallurgical properties of polonium for the Manhattan Engineer District. Construction of permanent facilities then called the Dayton Engineer Works, in Miamisburg, Ohio, began in 1947 and buildings were first occupied in 1948. The plant was assigned new production and development functions in 1955, and oversight was shifted from the Oak Ridge Operations Office.³

Nuclear Weapons Activities

Mound produces detonators, cable assemblies, explosively actuated timers and firing sets, command disable explosive components, and surveillance testing equipment.

Figure 29 Aerial View of Mound Facility

Operations at Mound include disassembly, analysis and development of nuclear components containing tritium, and recovery of wastes.⁴ Tritium is recovered from weapon components at Mound and repurified at Savannah River.⁵ Mound's work with tritium began in 1954.

Mound is an integrated production and laboratory facility. It fabricates ceramic components for actuators, igniters, and detonators; formulates pyrotechnic materials; and manufactures pyrotechnic devices. New facilities for the development and production of ceramics were constructed in the late 1970s.

Laboratories are uniquely equipped for work on tritium technology, radioactive heat sources, diagnostic testing of explosive components, and the separation and purification of stable isotopes.

¹ Initially called Dayton Regineer Works.

² The site overlooking the Mismi River is adjacent to the largest control Instian meand in the state, from which the same Mound Laboratory is derived.

³ An Intruduction to Mound, Monsonto Research Corporation, Miamashing, Ohio, April 1983.

⁴ Unif Berkground Information Document, Proposed Standards for Radionadides, EFA 5201-63-001, U.S. EPA, March 1983, p. 2.19-1.

b HAG, PY 1983 EWDA, Fart 4, p. 156.

Mound Laboratory

Nonweapon Activities

About 25 percent of the facilities at Mound are nondefense.

Mound provides a large number of enriched stable (non-radioactive) isotopes and is the single DOE distributor in the United States of stable isotopes for structural studies, precision calorimetry, and its applications to accurate measurements of the properties of radioactive materials. Other uses are in agricultural biology, cryogenics, geology, and medicine.

Other major nonweapon activities are the production of thermoelectric heat sources (plutonium-238) for the U.S. space program⁶ and surveillance satellites; energy research including solar energy, fossil fuels, and thermite (chemical) heat sources; environmental research including tritium recovery technology; isotope enrichment by chemical exchange; nuclear waste management; and work on nuclear materials safeguards for the NRC. Current activities include the recovery of tritium from aqueous waste and the design, construction, and testing of a tritium storage and delivery system for the Tokamak fusion reactor at Princeton.

Facilities

The original explosive component test facility was built in 1957 to support a single weapon system. Three additional detonator test cells, each capable of withstanding a 10 lb TNT detonation, are under construction (starting FY 1984).⁷ Equipment at Mound includes an infrared laser and focusing system, laser welder, and positioner; also, volume measuring equipment and an environmental test chamber. Mound has a building for handling tritium. Analytical capabilities include atomic absorption spectrophotometry, infrared spectrometry, neutron activation analysis, and electron microscopy.

Mound has developed techniques for the laser isotope separation of tritium from aqueous waste and a pilot electrolysis system for recovering tritium from water. DOE has implemented a program to provide a partial backup of the SRP's tritium container filling capability by providing functionally similar facilities at the Mound Plant.

Mound has equipment for forming and molding plastics and precision machining capabilities for fabrication of metal and nonmetal parts. It is implementing a program for computer aided design and manufacturing (CAD/CAM). It has a graphics system for automated machine tool programming, and computer controlled machine tools are being installed for specialized operations.

Testing and Surveillance

Studies of new detonator designs as well as tests of current production items require the use of flash x-ray technology, interferometry, computer data reduction, and other advanced diagnostic techniques. Explosive components are prepared, detonated, and evaluated in the Mound component test facility. This facility, which occupies 23,000 square feet in four buildings, is built around eight test cells where as many as seventy-five separate testing programs are available.

Surveillance of aged warhead components, many of which are returned to Mound for testing after several years in the nuclear weapon stockpile, gives component designers important information on the long-term reliability of electrical parts and explosive compounds. Surveillance, electrical testing, disassembly, and test fire data obtained for non-nuclear components of nuclear weapons at many locations throughout Mound are recorded and stored in a central computer data base to audit the components as they move through the facility.

Nondestructive testing (NDT) of ultrasonic, eddy current, dye penetrant, and leak testing techniques are used in the quality control program at Mound. The californium multiplier (CFX) neutron radiography facility permits onsite NDT inspection of sealed components. The CFX facility, installed in 1977, produces quality radiographs previously possible only with a nuclear reactor as the neutron source.

BUDGET ⁶ (S million):	FY	Total DOE	DOE Defense Programs
	1981	87.9	77.3
	1982	118.2	89.9
	1983	134.7	124.8
	1984	161.6	151.3
	1985	198.4	186.2
	1986	216.0	202.0
ASSETS	Capital ment, \$ Ninety-1 880,000 (1983)	investmer 116.8 mill five but square fee	nt and equip- ion (FY 1980), ildings total at of floor space

An Introduction to Mound, op. c.8.: Estimated costs from DOE, YY 1986 Badget Request Estimates for LaboPlants, Office of the Controller, 22 February 1985, pp. 53-56.

Thermoelectric generation in support of the NASA Galileo program and International Solar Polar Mission (ISPM); HAC, FY 1984 EWDA, Part 4, p. 675.
 HAC, FY 1985 EWDA, Part 4, p. 324.

Mound Laboratory

PERSONNEL:9	End FY	Personnel	
	1971	1808	
	1972	1613	
	1973	1830	
	1974	1731	
	1975 (Sep)	1575	
	1976	1567	
	1977	1675	
	1978	1690	
	1979	1714	
	1980	1811	
	1981	1910	
	1982	2060	
	1983	2161	
	1984	2302	
	1985 (Mar)	2364	

.

B DOE, GOCO Employment, Computer printeet for Office of Industrial Relations, R-5529398-012, 29 August 1985. Nevada Test Site

Nevada Test Site (NTS)



Figure 30 Final test preparations include running miles of cable downhole which will transmit test information to diagnostic trailers

ADDRESS:	U.S. Department of Energy Nevada Operations Office 2753 South Highland Drive P.O. Box 14100		nuclear weapons laboratories. The NTS is also used by the United Kingdom as a test site.
	Las Vegas, NV 89114 702/295-1000 (295-3521, Public Affairs)	MANAGEMENT:	Administered by Nevada Opera- tions Office (see text for list of con- tractors)
LOCATION:	Adjacent to Nellis Air Force Base and training area, about 65 miles northwest of Las Vegas, Nevada; 1350-square-mile site: ATLAS fa-	ESTABLISHMENT:	Chosen as continental proving ground in December 1950; first nuclear test 27 January 1951
	cilities at North Las Vegas used for management support, pretest stag-	BUDGET:	\$484 million, (FY 1986)
	ing, and diagnostic activities	PERSONNEL:	8414 (March 1985)
MISSION:	Field testing of nuclear weapons to determine their effectiveness and capability in support of the	FACILITIES:	Nuclear weapons testing and diag- nostic facilities

ters from earlier underground tests dot the landscape.

1 Formerly part of Las Vogas Bombing and Gormery Range, then Size Mercury, then Novada Proving Ground.

Nevada Test Site

History

The Nevada Test Site was chosen as a continental proving ground in December 1950. The first nuclear test at NTS was conducted on 27 January 1951. The Nevada Operations Office (NV) was created and assumed responsibility for operations and programs at NTS on 6 March 1962 when nuclear testing became a year-round effort.²

Nuclear Weapons Activities

There are two principal types of nuclear weapons tests conducted at NTS: weapon-related tests and weapon effects tests (see Volume II, Chapter Two).

Testing is conducted during four stages of weapon development: primary experimental research, theoretical investigations and calculations, component development experimentation, and full-scale proof-testing. Most tests involve developmental devices conceived and furnished by Los Alamos National Laboratory (LANL) and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory (LLNL), with non-nuclear contributions by Sandia Naitonal Laboratories (SNL).

The other major test site activities include supporting Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency (DARPA) detection tests and Defense Nuclear Agency (DNA) Field Command military effects tests.³

Nonweapon Activities

The Nevada Applied Ecology Group, a management umbrella for some fifteen organizations, oversees research on areas of NTS that were contaminated by atmospheric weapons tests. Research is conducted on the inventory and distribution of various radionuclides; movement of the radioactive materials in soils and by resuspension; uptake into plants, animals, and microorganisms; and modeling estimates of radionuclide uptake and resultant radiation doses to humans living in contaminated environments.

A bioenvironmental experimental farm, operated some sixteen years for DOE through an agreement with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), was used to conduct radionuclide uptake studies on various farm animals and crops. The farm was closed on 30 December 1981.

The tuffaceous rock at the Yucca Mountain Site, on and adjacent to the southwest portion of the NTS, is currently being examined by DOE as a potential site for disposal of spent nuclear fuel high-level radioactive waste. DOE proposes to recommend to the President Yucca Mountain Site as one of the three candidate sites for site characterization in accordance with the Nuclear Waste Policy Act.

During the 1960s and through 1973 the Jackass Flats area of NTS was used as a test site for the Atomic Energy Commission's ROVER nuclear rocket engine development program. In February 1962 this area was designated the Nuclear Rocket Development Station (NRDS). The hot cells and remote handling equipment of the Engine Maintenance, Assembly, and Disassembly Building (E-MAD) at the NRDS site are currently used to prepare unprocessed spent nuclear fuel from commercial power reactors for surface and subsurface dry storage experiments. Tests include placement of canned spent fuel in granitic rock 1400 feet underground in facilities located in the northeastern part of NTS that were used for nuclear weapons effects tests in the 1960s.

Facilities

Each underground test involves a complex series of activities including the manufacture of the nuclear device, the drilling of a test shaft to conduct the test, the construction of the metal canister that holds the device, and the diagnostic equipment associated with a particular test, and assembly of the diagnostic equipment.

A wide array of equipment (over 45,000 items in inventory) is located at NTS, including digital equipment, television cameras, and radiochemical detectors.

The nuclear devices are provided by one of the weapons laboratories. Two device assembly and storage facilities, one for LANL and one for LLNL, have historically been used at NTS. A project is underway to consolidate these activities at a new Combined Device Assembly facility that will be completed in 1989. Funds are requested for construction of four "Gravel Gertie" assembly structures, two radiography facilities, three high bay areas, three assembly areas/bunkers and one storage area, several special nuclear material/high-explosive storage bunkers (various sizes), two laboratory support buildings for storage, and an administration building. The 34-foot diameter Gravel Gerties are designed to contain a 500 lb HE explosion.⁴

Due to the size of the nuclear weapons canisters containing diagnostic equipment, test shafts are large compared, for example, to oil and gas wells, which are typically less than a foot in diameter. In the early days at NTS, small diameter holes were drilled and then widened by reaming. At NTS bore holes up to 12 feet in diameter and thousands of feet deep can be drilled in one pass. Examples of big hole drilling today are a 90-inchdiameter hole about 6100 feet deep on Amchitka and a hole 120 inches in diameter more than 5000 feet deep in Nevada.

The ATLAS facility at North Las Vegas, Nevada, serves as an assembly area for diagnostic canisters. Management, administrative, and technical support and computer warehousing is also provided. It is being expanded (FY 1985) to handle additional and larger devices and canisters.

² DOB, DOE's Nevado Operations Office: What it Does and Why, and stud, p. 1.
3 DOA is charged by the joint Chiefs of Staff with the responsibility to constant nuclear weapons effects texts.

⁴ HAC, PY 1906 EWDA, Part 4, pp. 217-22; HAC, PY 1966 EWDA, Part 7, p. 204.

Nevada Test Site



Figure 31 Map Showing Location of Nevada Test Site and Nevada Test Site Regions

Management

The Nevada Test Site is administered by the Nevada Operations Office. The major operating contractors for DOE are Reynolds Electrical and Engineering Company (REEC), a subsidiary of EG&G. Inc. (formerly Edgerton, Germeshausen, and Grier, Inc.); Holmes and Narver, Inc.; and Fenix and Sisson. REEC is the principal support contractor. REEC operates the test site and conducts drilling for underground tests and minor construction. REEC's parent company, EG&G, is also a technical contractor at NTS, operates the ATLAS facility in North Las Vegas, and prepares diagnostic electronics and other equipment used in nuclear tests. Holmes and Narver provides architect-engineering services. Fenix and Sission engineers and logs test holes. Westinghouse Advanced Energy Sys-



Figure 32 Nevada Test Site Topography

tems Division operates the E-MAD facility used for commercial nuclear waste activities.

BUDGET ⁵ (\$ million):	FY	Total DOE	DOE Defense Programs
	1981	200.2	169.6
	1982	301.9	255.2
	1983	379.1	335.9
	1984	399.1	393.0
	1985	451.3	447.7
	1986	484.0	477.7

ASSETS

The book value for all facilities at NTS (1983/1984) is about \$400 million, with the replacement costs in excess of \$2 billion.⁶

PERSONNEL:7

End FY	EGaG ⁸	A Scisson	Holmes & Narver	Reynelds Electric Energy	Total
1975	1192	71	192	1842	3297
1970	1289	71	211	1631	3405
1977	1431	82	236	165'0	3429
1978	1519	102	296	1740	3617
1979	1900	100	247	1760	3607
1980	1698	105	274	1818	3996
1961	1840	109	351	2309	4509
1982	2055	116	367	2422	4960
1993	2151	124	445	4791	7511
1084	2300	114	500	5155	8069
1985 (Mar)	2355	119	\$31	5409	8414

6 includes all BG&G. Inc. personnel under the Nevada Operations Office including these at Albuquerque, New Mexico, Las Vegas, Nevada, San Ramon, California, Santa Barhara, California, Los Alamos, New Mexico, and Wohura, Massachusetts.

Pigures for 1958-66 Estimated tosts. DOK. FY 1986 Budget Request. Sitterates for Labs' Plants. Office of the Controller, 22 Fabruary 1983, pp. 58-56.
 MASC, FY 1984 DOE, p. 299.

⁷ DOE, GOCO Employment, Computer printent for Office of Industrial Relations, R-5525039-012, 29 August 1985.

Oak Ridge Reservation

Oak Ridge Reservation

ADDRESS:	Oak Ridge Operations Office
	P.O. Box E
	Oak Ridge, TN 37831
	615/576-5454 (Information)
	615/576-1000 (Assistance)

- LOCATION: East central Tennessee, eastern Roane County and western Anderson County, adjacent to Oak Ridge, Tennessee, which is approximately 20 miles (by air) west of Knoxville; 37,000-acre site
- MISSION: Carries out a variety of nuclear weapons and nonweapons activities for DOE, including uranium enrichment
- MANAGEMENT: GOCO site managed for DOE by Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.
- ESTABLISHMENT: Selected 19 September 1942 (Site X) as site for enrichment of uranium
- BUDGET: (see specific Oak Ridge facilities)
- PERSONNEL: 16,554 (March 1985)
- FACILITIES: Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant • Oak Ridge National Laboratory • Y-12 Plant

History

The Conant-Bush report, approved by President Roosevelt on 17 June 1942, recommended that a fullscale atomic bomb development project be initiated by the United States and urged that a search for an appropriate production site or sites for enriched uranium and plutonium be undertaken immediately. Shortly thereafter the Manhattan Engineer District was organized within the U.S. Army Corp of Engineers under the command of then Colonel (later Major General) Leslie R. Groves. The decision to locate a development site in East Tennessee, code named Site X,¹ was made by Colonel Groves on 19 September 1942.²



Figure 33 Map of the Dak Ridge Reservation and Vicinity

In the fall of 1942 the Army's Manhattan Engineer District began to acquire 92 square miles (59,000 acres) at Site X at a cost of about \$2,600,000. One small part of this parcel was set aside for residential use and became known as the City of Oak Ridge. The remaining land became the Oak Ridge Reservation. The land comprising the city was sold by the government in the 1950s, and subsequently the city of Oak Ridge was incorporated.

The primary mission of Site X (the Oak Ridge Reservation) was the production of U-235, which was obtained by two separation methods: the gaseous diffusion method at the K-25 site and the electromagnetic separation method at the Y-12 site.³ The first plutonium production reactor, designated X-10, was built at the X-10 site—now the site of the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL).⁴ It served as a model for the operation of the larger graphite reactors (plutonium production reactors) constructed at the Hanford Reservation.

During the Manhattan Project the Y-12 plant was operated by Tennessee Eastman Corporation, the gaseous diffusion plant at K-25 was operated by the Union Carbide Corporation, and X-10 (now ORNL) was operated first by the Metallurgical Laboratory of the University of Chicago and then (after 1 July, 1945) by Monsanto Chemical Corporation.

rejected on grounds of nost, complexity, and lark of pennise. Ultimately, U-225 produced at OAR Ridge (all that was worldable) was taxed as the finite material in LiTTLE SOY, the first nuclear weapon dropped on Japan at Himshina. See Vincent C. Jones, Membertane The Anny and the Association in U.S. Anny Center of Military History (Washington, DC GPO, 1985) and Charles W Johnson and Charles O, Jackson, Gly Behlard A Fenre Oak Ridge, Vennessee, 1984, 1984, 1981).

Initially (1942) known as Site X (disputed under the name "Kingston Demolition Range"); officially designated as the CEnton Engineer Works in early 1943; subacquerity (during the 1940s) the entire reservation was designated the "City of Oak Ridge."
 A second site, extend at Markerd, Washington (see Flanford Reservation) was known as

² A second site, selected at Manford, Washington (see Flanford Reservation) was known as Site W. while the third, code named Site Y, was selected outside Santa Fe. New Mexico (see Los Alamas National Laboratory).

³ A third effort for obtaining U-235 therugh a thermal diffusion method was sufficient in 1944. Although plant facilities were multipleted for this process, it was later discontinued. A fourth method of separation, the creatilitage process, was originally considered but inter-

Ridge, Yennessee, 1942-1946. (Knoxville: the University of Tenseusee Press, 1961).
4 At the time, reactors were esterred to as "atomic piles" and platonium production reactors were called "platonium piles." X:10. Inter unlied the Oak Ridge Graphite Reactor. is now a historical site.

Oak Ridge Reservation

Union Carbide took over the management of Y-12 in 1947 and ORNL in 1948. Union Carbide also managed the Paducah, Kentucky, gaseous diffusion plant beginning in 1950. In April 1984 management of all of the Oak Ridge facilities (including the Paducah gaseous diffusion plant) passed to Martin Marietta Corp.

In 1942 the Stone and Webster Corporation, a prime contractor in the plant areas, was given responsibility by the Manhattan Engineer District for development of the township of Oak Ridge, Skidmore, Owings and Merrill designed the town: Stone and Webster was the prime construction contractor.

Nuclear Weapons Activities

The weapons activities are carried out primarily at the Y-12 Plant (see Y-12 Plant). The Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant (GDP) at the K-25 site, which operated until 1985 when it was placed on standby, supplied enriched uranium for civil power and research reactors and for national defense programs.

Nonweapon Activities

Nonweapons research on the reservation is principally in the development of energy technologies and is conducted primarily at the Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL).

Facilities

There are three major industrial research facilities on the Oak Ridge Reservation:

- The Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant (GDP) and the gas centrifuge research and development facilities, all at the K-25 site (see Uranium Enrichment Complex)
- 2. The Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL) at the X-10 site (see below), and

The Y-12 Plant at the Y-12 site (see below).

Other areas on the Reservation include:

- The Comparative Animal Research Laboratory (CARL) (not engaged in nuclear weapon activities)
- The Oak Ridge National Environmental Research Park (NERP)
- A 1364-acre southwest portion of the reservation was transferred to the custody of TVA as the site for the proposed Clinch River Breeder Reactor, which was terminated in 1983. The current proposed use of the site is as an industrial park. DOE has proposed to use this site for the Monitored Retrievable Storage (MRS) facility that would perform spent fuel preparation and packaging activities prior to emplacement in a geological repository.

Management

The Oak Ridge Reservation is a GOCO site, managed for DOE, as of 1 April 1984, by Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc., a subsidiary of Martin Marietta Corp. The previous contractor was the Union Carbide Corporation Nuclear Division (UCCND). Martin Marietta (and previously UCCND) operates for DOE the ORNL, Y-12, and the Oak Ridge GDP at Oak Ridge and the Paducah GDP at Paducah, Kentucky, Oak Ridge Associated Universities (ORAU) operates the CARL, at Oak Ridge, for DOE and the Medical Division Programs at ORNL. The Oak Ridge Operations Office administers the Martin Marietta and ORAU contracts.

Operating Cost and Fees

Union Carbide in its final contract received an \$8 million management fee for managing four facilities at an operating cost of about \$2 billion annually. The replacement contractor, Martin Marietta, will operate with a cost-plus-award-fee contract arrangement with a base fee of \$12 million that will reportedly earn Martin Marietta as much as \$19 million annually.5

PERSONNEL:

Date		Oak Ridge Reservation Employment ⁶		Oak Ridge Township Population ⁶	
May 1	945	82,000 (ne	eak)	75.000	(peak)
Nov 1	945	51,000	our,	52,000	(pour)
Ian 19	946	43,000		48,000	
Jun 19	946	34,000		43,000	
19	950	?		30,205	
7		Oak Ridge			
End FY	Y-12	GDP	ORNI	Other	Total
1971	F-835	2750	4439		14024
1972	6412	2783	4381		13577
1973	6131	3977	3937		13145
1974	5427	3490	4263	-	12175
1978 (Sep)	4718	\$849	4910		14477
1976	4758	3872	5098		15729
1877	\$054	6135	5463	-	16630
1978	5242	6473	5005		37213
1879	5456	6377	5700		37333
1686	\$716	6001	5817	-	17564
1001	8257	52.36	5552		17145
1982	8725	4527	5548	-	15,758
1983	5043	4213	5113	333	16764
19/14	7135	3963	5102	413	16633
1985 (Mac)	7213	3469	5045	427	16954

ii Includes Lockwood Greene Engineering, Rost Engineering Co., and Science Application.

Nuclear News, January 1984, p. 23. Johnson and Juckson, City Britand A Fance, pp. 188-9. DOR, GOOD Employment, Computer privious for Office of Industrial Helatione, R-5525060-012, 29 August 1985.

Dak Ridge National Laboratory

Oak Ridge National Laboratory (ORNL)

	ADDRESS:	Oak Ridge National Laboratory	 Ion-Solid Interactions Laboratory
		P.O. Box X	National Center for Small-Angle
		Oak Kloge, 1N 37831	Scattering Research
		(OP Operations Office)	• Oak Ridge Research Reactor
		615/574_4160	High Level Rediochemical
		(ORNI Public Relations)	aboratory
		(OKAL Fublic Relations)	+ High Radiation Level Examina-
	LOCATION:	Oak Ridge Reservation X-10 site	tion Laboratory
		on 10.270 acres	Environmental Sciences
			Laboratory
	MISSION:	A broad based multiprogram insti-	Health Physics Research Reactor
		tution whose principal mission is	Fusion Energy:
		to carry out applied research and	 Impurity Studies Experiment
		engineering development in fis-	(ISX-B) Tokamak
		sion, fusion, and other energy	 International Fusion Supercon-
		technologies and conduct scientif-	ducting Magnet Test Facility
		ic research in basic physical and	(IFSMTF, formerly known as the
		life sciences. Nuclear weapons ac-	Large Coil Test Facility [LCTF]]
		tivities represent a very small frac-	Advanced Toroidal Facility Advanced Toroidal Facility
		tion of the OKNL research effort.	Elmo Dumpy Tome (EPT D)
	MANACEMENT-	COCO facility operated for DOF	proof of principle (scheduled
	MANAGEMENT.	by Martin Marietta Energy Sys-	1987)
		tems. Inc.	1007)
		tomo, mor	History
	ESTABLISHMENT:	Established 1942 as part of Man-	The Oak Ridge Reservation was established in 1942
		hattan Project.	as part of the Manhattan Project (see Oak Kidge Keserva-
		and a second second second second	had been set up to speece is plutenium meansh and
	BUDGET:	\$391.1 million, total lab funding	construction had begun there on a pilot plant to gain
		(FY 1986)	experience in plutopium production and chemical sena-
	BEBEONNIEL	TOUT (March 1007)	ration, prior to full-scale operation of facilities at Han-
	PERSONNEL:	5045 (March 1985)	ford.2 The Clinton pile3 (originally designated X-10), the
	FACILITIES:	Major nonweapon facilities for	first true plutonium production reactor, started operating
	THEIL THE	both basic science and fusion re-	on 4 November 1943. ⁴ Built by duPont and designed for a
		search.	thermal power of 1000 kilowatts, the air-cooled, graph-
		Basic Science:	ite-moderated, and natural uranium fueled reactor soon
		·High Temperature Materials	exceeded design goals, operating at 1800 kilowatts and
		Laboratory	The plutenium conception plant at the Clinton Labo
_		 Holifield Heavy Ion Research 	ratery eite received its first batch of irradiated slugs from
		Facility	the Clinton pile in December 1943. By February 1944 the
		High Flux Isotope ReactorTran-	plant was receiving one-third ton of fuel per day, and by
		Suranium Processing Plant	March 1944 several grams of plutonium had been sepa-
		tions Facility (Calutrons)	rated for research purposes. ⁵ The separation plant con-
		•Oak Ridge Electron Linear	sisted of a series of adjacent cells with thick concrete
		Accelerator	walls, forming a continuous structure—a "canyon"—100
		Neutron Scattering Facility	feet long and two-thirds submerged into the ground.
		Atomic Physics EN-Tandem	
		Accelerator	

During the Marybotton Project II was relatived to as Glinton Laboratories (for the nearby teem of Clinitus, Tennessee), or X-10. The Marketine Project rode name X-30 year derived from the interestion of map coordinates X and 10. Notelly during the 1970s it was called the limited National Laboratory.
 Recause of time and design the Cliniton plic was not particularly useful as a pilot plant for the limit Handed reactors. The clinit providences of the Clinitum plant in the Markattan Project was the development of the methods of operation for plantnin recovery. Even the Basilord recovery scales were far along in constructions when Cliniton wer ready. Hency

DeWell Smyth, Atomic Energy for Milliony Porposes, Princeton University Press, 1945, p. 142

³ Setsequently railed the Oak Ridge Graphite Reastor.

⁴ Smyth. Atomic Energy, p. 142. It operated uptil 1963.

^{5 1}bid., p. 143, 144.

⁸ Ibid., pp. 112. 144.

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

Work was performed by remote control behind thick shields.⁷ This canyon was the model for future processing plants.

Nuclear Weapons Activities

ORNL conducts several activities for DOE Defense Programs. The major effort is in three areas: (1) management of wastes generated at ORNL. (2) development of technology for managing low-level and transuranic radioactive wastes, and (3) materials production involving administration of the national repository for U-233 and conversion of 100 kg of uranium from a liquid to an inert solid. ORNL also conducts research on verification and control technology. This work focuses on the development of improved equipment and methodology for isotopic analyses of elements of interest to arms control verification and safeguards (e.g., plutonium, uranium, and thorium).

Although a non-weapon activity, the ORNL U-233 Program is a responsibility of the Office of Nuclear Materials Production. The U-233 program encompasses several tasks, including U-233 scrap recovery and operation of the U-233 National Repository, and installation and operation of a facility to convert 1000 kg of uranium (U-235/U-233 in solution) from liquid to solid (Consolidated Edison Uranium Solidification Progam to be completed in FY 1987) The ORNL Materials Production program is also responsible for the DOE inventory of Am-241 and in FY 1986 the Californium Industrial Sales/Loan Program will be transferred from the Savannah River Laboratory to ORNL (see below). Since FY 1985 ORNL has been pursuing several initiatives as part of the President's Strategic Defense Initiative. These efforts focus on the design, development, and evaluation of space-based power systems, the development of negative particle sources with directed energy applications, and support in the development of radiation-hardened, passive optical components.

Nonweapon Activities

The principal ORNL program activities are in nuclear power development and magnetic fusion research. ORNL also plays an important role in other areas of energy research including conservation, fossil fuels, biomedical and environmental sciences primarily as they relate to energy production. Approximately seven percent of the ORNL effort is in support of the NRC. ORNL performs work for DOD and other federal agencies. ORNL research for the Army primarily involves physical, chemical, and toxicological characterization of chemicals. For the Navy ORNL conducts R&D and performs analyses related to data systems improvement, instrumentation, materials, fuel supply and use, energy conservation, and waste disposal. Air Force activities include the development of new mathematical algorithms appropriate to pipeline, vector, and array processors, the study of swift atom-solid collisions, hazardous waste site assessments, preparation of radioluminescent lights for remote airfields, and development of slection criteria for insulating materials.

ORNL is engaged in the manufacture, production, and sale of radioactive and stable isotopes that are not available from the private sector. This activity was originated in 1946. It includes the sale of tritium (in gram quantities) and byproduct materials (e.g., californium) produced at Savannah River.

Management

ORNL is a GOCO facility, operated for DOE, as of 1 April 1984, by Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. The previous contractor was the Union Carbide Corporation Nuclear Division (UCCND). The Oak Ridge Operations Office administers the ORNL contract for DOE under the supervision of the Director of the Office of Energy Research. During its initial Manhattan Project history, Clinton Laboratories (now ORNL) was managed by the Metallurgical Laboratory of the University of Chicago. Monsanto Chemical Corporation took over the management on 1 July 1945, followed by Union Carbide in 1948.

LAB ACTIVITIES

BY PROGRAM (FY 1985):6

(1985):8	DOE	
	Energy Research	41%
	Nuclear Energy	10%
	Conservation &	
	Renewable Energy	6%
	Defense (Waste	
	Programs)	9%
	Other DOE	9%
	NRC	7%
	DOD	11%
	Other Agencies	7%

⁷ Ibid., p. 243.

		Total	Defense Programs	PERSONNEL:11	End FY	Total Personnel	
BUDGET ⁹		ORNL	(ASDP)		1971	2750	
(\$ million):	FT	Operating	Operating		1972	2783	
	1971	107.0			1973	3077	
	1972	107.6			1974	3490	
	1973	110.3			1975 (Sep)	4849	
	1974	113.9			1976	5872	
	1975	148.2	1.9		1977	6333	
	1976*	229.1	3.6		1978	6275	
	1977	224.8	7.5		1979	6177	
	1978	256.7	11.3		1980	6031	
	1979	314.1	13.8		1981	5236	
	1980	369.8	21.3		1982	4527	
	1981	384.9	19.7		1983	4313	
	1982	334.6	22.5		1984	5102	
	1983	380.0	32.4		1985 (Mar)	5045	
	1984	353.6	35.7				
	1985	393.6	37.4				
	1986	391.1	42.4				

* 1976 was a 15-month fiscal year.

ASSETS

Capital Investment Equipment estimated to be \$540 million.10

9 Oak Ridge Operatives Office, 25 February 1982; Data through FY 1880 from DOE Major National Laboratories Funding Table, Jamary 1981; Oak Sidge National Laboratory Insti-netional Plan, FY 1982-87, December 1981; Figures 1983-86 Estimated costs from DOE, FY 1983 Budget Regunt Estimates for LaborPlants, Office of the Controller, 22 February 1985. 30.64.70.

Oak Ridge National Laboratory

¹⁰ DOE, Capeale Review of the DOE Research and Development and Field Facilities, DOE

ER-002, September 1999, p. 17. DOE, GDCD Employment, Computer printont for Office of Industrial Relations, R-3529300-012, 29 August 1985. 11

Y-12 Plant



Figure 34 Aerial View of Y-12 Plant

ADDRESS:

Y-12 Plant P.O. Box Y Oak Ridge, TN 37831 615/576-5454 (Information) 615/576-1000 (Assistance)

LOCATION:

The plant is situated in Bear Creek Valley at the eastern boundary of the Oak Ridge Reservation. The Y-12 Plant site contains a total of 3420 acres, the inner industrial plant complex covers 600 acres, surrounded by buffer of approximately 2820 acres¹ MISSION:

The Y-12 Plant has four principal missions:

- Production and fabrication of weapons components and subassemblies, including parts and test devices for the weapon design laboratories
- Processing of source and special nuclear materials
- Support of the three other plants operated for DOE by Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc.
- Support of other federal agencies

Environmental Assessment, Y-12 Plant Site, Ovk Ridge, Tenaevore, U.S. DOE, DOEEA-0182, December 1982.



Figure 35 Location of Facilities at the Y-12 Plant

MANAGEMENT: GOCO facility operated for DOE by Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. Contract administered by the Oak Ridge Operations Office acting for the Albuquerque Operations Office

ESTABLISHMENT: First production building put into use 27 January 1944

BUDGET: \$602.4 million, (FY 1986)

PERSONNEL:

FACILITIES:

7213 (March 1985)

- Chemical processing buildings
- · Large machine shops
- Laboratories
- Maintenance buildings
- Support facilities



Figure 36 Enriched Uranium Button

BUDGET ² (\$ million):	FY	Total Y-12	Defense Programs ASDP
The second state	1971	142.0	104.1
	1972	129.5	111.0
	1973	118.9	105.3
	1974	103.8	90.3
	1975	103.8	92.0
	1976*	136.5	104.2
	1977	149.5	118.2
	1978	159.7	123.2
	1979	159.0	123.1
	1980	200.0	158.8
	1981	249.5	203.9
	1982	394.0	270.0
	1983	370.2	369.4
	1984	455.4	442.1
	1985	539.9	539.7
	1986	602.4	602.1

* 1976 was a 15-month fiscal year.

 Outlays, Oak Ridge Operations Office, 25 Petruary 1982; Figures 1986-86 Estimated costs from DOE, FY 1980; Budget Request Estimates for Lube/Flants, Office of the Controller, 22 February 1985, pp. 98-99.



Figure 37 Flament Winding on Reentry Body

ASSETS

The Y-12 plant and auxiliary facilities include approximately 300 buildings plus several disposal sites. Capital investment and equipment, \$671.0 million (FY 1980).

Fiscal Year	SRP	ICPP	Total
1953	0	101	101
1954	0	217	217
1955	3	828	831
1956	0	744	744
1957	201	797	398
1958	258	898	1156
1959	270	3741	4011
1960	6395	769	7164
1961	2305	a	2305
1962	2701	775	3476
1963	6461	0	6461
1964	2977	771	3748
1965	3546	425	3971
1966	3467	1408	4875
1967	2604	0	2604
1968	2097	394	2491
1969	4121	427	4548
1970	2045	108	2153
1971	3805	1660	5465
1972	4716	415	5131
1973	5051	563	5614
1974	4599	0	4599
1975	5110	1702	6812
1976°	4320	195	4515
1977	4497	1333	5830
1978	2070	525	2595
1979	4591	535	5126
1980	1510	a	1510
1981	4918	905	5823
1982	5728	577	6305
1983	6682	1041	7723
1984	5776	2868	8644
TOTALS (FY 1953-84)	102,824	24,722	127,546

PERSONNEL:

End FY	Total Personnel	End FY	Total Personnel
Jan 1944:	13,5003	1978	5242
1945:	22,0004	1979	5456
19715	6835	1980	5716
1972	6413	1981	6257
1973	6131	1982	6725
1974	5423	1983	6943
1975 (Sep)	4718	1984	7155
1976	4759	1985 (Mar)	7213
1977	5054		

ERDA. "Ock Ridge Operations." p. 26.
 Charles W. Johnson and Charles O. Jackson, City Schind A Fence, Ock Ridge, Teenessee, 1942-1946, (Knocrille: The University of Tennessee Press, 1981), p. 24.

5 DOE, GOOD Employment, Computer printout for Office of Industrial Relations, 8-5528009-012, 29 August 1985.

Lithium Enrichment Facility

Lithium Enrichment Facility



Figure 38 Lithium Enrichment Facility

ADDRESS: (see Y-12 Plant)

LOCATION: Y-12 Plant

MISSION: Separations operations for the large-scale production of lithium-6 (enriched lithium) for the thermonuclear weapons program.

ESTABLISHMENT: 1950.

Lithium Enrichment Plants

AEC-owned facilities for lithium enrichment were constructed and operated at the Y-12 plant in the 1950s and early 1960s. The first lithium enrichment facility, a demonstration plant, went into operation at Y-12 in 1950. Large scale lithium separation activities took place between 1950 and 1963 utilizing a total of eight demonstration, pilot, and production plants. The plant also produced 99.97 to 99.99 percent pure Li-7 for commercial sale. Production ceased in 1963, with the remaining plant placed on cold standby.

Chronology: ¹ 1950, 1951:	Operated building 9733-2 devel- opment facility for Elex (Electrical Exchange) Process
1951, 1952:	Operated building 9733-1 devel- opment facility for Orex (Organic Exchange) process.
Sep 1951 thru 1955:	Operated building 9201-2 pilot plants for Elex Process and Colex (Column Exchange) Process.
Apr 1953 thru May 1955:	Operated building 9201-2 pilot plant for Orex Process.

Manuary Investory of Y-12 Plant 1950 through 1077 (Unrfragilited Version)," Union Carhide Nuclear Division, Oak Bidge, TN, June 1977.

1953 thru Spring 1956:	Operated building 9204-4 Elex Production Plant.
Jan 1955 thru Feb 1959:	Operated building 9201-5 Colex Production Plant.
Dec 1962 thru May 1963:	Resumed partial operation of 9201-5 Colex Plant for a lithium-7 production run. Produced several thousand kilograms of 99.99% Li- 7 for public sale. ²
1965, 1966;	Building 9201-5 (Colex Produc- tion Plant) stripped of process equipment.
Jun 1955 thru Dec 1962:	Operated building 9201-4 Colex Production Plant (similar in de- sign to 9201-5).
Mar 1957 thru May 1962:	Operated building 81-10 facility to recover mercury from solid wastes, primarily lithium carbon- ate and powdered graphite filter solids.
1963 to Present:	Plant (probably 9201-4) on cold standby.
Feb 1984:	DOE requests funds to decommis- sion lithium enrichment facility.

Schedule

The plant (probably building 9201-4 Colex Plant) is in "standby" condition: "Y-12 will continue to maintain the lithium enrichment plant in standby as sufficient lithium inventory currently exists."³ DOE has requested funding (FY 1985 Budget Request) to decommission the lithium enrichment facility.⁴

Process Description

The Colex Process was ultimately the one used in the lithium production plants at Y-12. It involves the separation of lithium isotopes (lithium-6 from lithium-7) by exchange between lithium amalgam and an aqueous solution of lithium hydroxide. The lithium-7 is concentrated in the amalgam phase.⁵ Large quantities of mercury were required for operation, particularly during the years 1955 through 1958.

ABC, Report to Congress, January 1964, p. 43.
 HAC, FY 1983 EWDA. Fort 4, p. 257.

Masen Benedict, Thremas P. Pigford and Hans Wollgang Levi, Nuclear Chemical Engiaseriag (New York: McGraw Hill, 1981), pp. 638, 641, 801.

Pantex Plant

Pantex Plant (Amarillo Plant)



Figure 39 Aerial View of Pentex Plant

ADDRESS:	Mason and Hanger-Silas Mason Co. Inc. P.O. Box 30020 Amarillo, TX 79177 806/381-3000 (DOE Field Office) 806/381-3802 (Mason and Hanger)		tion, and quality assurance testing of nuclear weapons already in the stockpile, and (3) disassembly of nuclear weapons retired from the stockpile.	
LOCATION:	Carson County, Texas, about 17 miles northeast of Amarillo and 16 miles west of Panhandle, Tex- as, on north side of Highway 60; 9100-acre site	MANAGEMENT:	GOCO facility operated for DOE by Mason and Hanger-Silas Mason Company, Inc., of Lexington, Ken- tucky; under management of the Albuquerque Operations Office	
MISSION:	Manufacture of high explosive (HE) components for nuclear weapons, and the final assembly and the disassembly of nuclear weapons. As an assembly facility	ESTABLISHMENT:-	1942 (Pantex Army Ordnance Plant); 1951 (Pantex Ordnance plant operated by AEC); Septem- ber 1963 (complete control trans- ferred to AEC)	
	it performs three major opera-	BUDGET:	\$198.1 million (FY 1986)	_
	clear weapons for delivery to DOD, (2) maintenance, modifica-	PERSONNEL:	2749 (March 1985)	

Pantex Plant



Figure 40 Map Showing Location of Pantax Plant

FACILITIES:

 High Explosives Fabrication and Weapon Assembly/Disassembly facilities

- Temporary Holding facilities for HE and weapons
- High Explosive Research and Development Area
- Firing Sites for HE quality assurance tests and HE R&D
- Burning Ground for disposing of scrap HE
- Inert Assembly and Test Facility (FY 1986)
- A radiographic bay housing an 8 MeV linear accelerator

History

The Pantex Army Ordnance Plant was constructed in 1942 and during World War II was operated by the Army Ordnance Corps as a conventional ammunition shell and homb loading facility. It was closed in 1945 and all production equipment removed. In 1949 the 14,954acre installation was transferred by the War Assets Administration to Texas Tech University for use as an agriculture station. However, in 1950 the AEC decided to duplicate operations at its Iowa Ordnance at Burlington (used for nuclear weapons operations 1947-1975) and in 1951 selected the Pantex location for this purpose. A portion of the original Pantex site and the existing facilities were returned to the government, and in 1951 the AEC began operation of the Pantex Ordnance Plant as a chemical explosives fabrication and nuclear weapon assembly plant. By late 1952 extensive construction and rehabilitation activities were completed.

The Army had responsibility for high explosive fabrication at both Pantex and Burlington prior to 1963. Direct control of all operations and facilities at the two sites was transferred to the AEC in September 1963, and their names were officially changed to the Pantex Plant and the Burlington AEC Plant.

In the 1960s and 1970s operations at the plants in Clarksville, Tennessee, and Medina, Texas, and at the Burlington Plant were consolidated at Pantex.

Nuclear Weapons Activities

Pantex fabricates the non-nuclear high explosive (HE) components of nuclear weapons. It assembles these together with prefabricated nuclear and non-nuclear components, received mainly from the other DOE plants of the warhead production complex, into finished nuclear weapons.¹ Pantex also assembles inert or mock nuclear weapons used in firing test programs and drop test programs. These Joint Test Assemblies (JTAs) contain no fissile material and no significant quantity of high explosive but otherwise approximate a war reserve weapon. A JTA unit is comprised of war reserve weapon components, plus one or more subassemblies (such as telemetry units) substituted for critical components.

New chemical HE materials are not usually produced at Pantex but are purchased from the Army or commercial suppliers and processed at Pantex in manufacturing operations such as casting, pressing and machining to components of the desired density and shape. Pantex does manufacture and assemble stock HE components for DOE and DOD testing and training programs.

The Pantex Plant also conducts research and development work on high explosives to support weapon design and development programs at the DOE weapon laboratories. No nuclear materials are involved.

Facilities

The manufacturing area contains more than 100 buildings. It has facilities for mixing, pressing, and machining high explosive (HE) materials into desired solid shapes, as well as for the machining of plastics and metals. In early years, most of the HE parts were formed by casting after the HE had been melted by steam. With progress in weapon design and production technology, HE fabrication gradually evolved from molten casting to

^{1 1308,} PEIS, Pontex Plant Site, DOE/EIS-0098, October 1983.

Pantex Plant



Figure 41 Aerial View of Assembly Bays

precision machining of the explosive shapes needed in newer weapons.²

Subassembly operations involve HE preparation, the mating of two or more HE parts, or joining of HE parts to a case or liner. These operations, which involve exposed HE but no radioactive materials, are conducted in "subassembly bays"—square reinforced concrete rooms, separated and covered by earth and designed to prevent an explosion from causing a sympathetic explosion in an adjacent bay. Typically, a subassembly bay is designed to provide for up to 300 lb HE in each bay.³ There are twenty-four subassembly bays at the Pantex Plant (March 1984).⁴

In "assembly cells" the HE parts are mated with fissile nuclear components of a nuclear implosion weapon. and the entire HE/nuclear material unit is encased in a protective shell or liner, generally stainless steel, aluminum, or titanium. The entire encased unit is called a "physics package." The cells (called "Gravel Gerties") are designed to prevent the spread of radioactivity. All weapons assembly work involving exposed HE and radioactive material is conducted in assembly cells. There are nine assembly cells in operation (seven built in the 1950s and 1960s, two just completed, and four under construction (March 1984)). In an assembly bay, components are added to the physics package to complete the assembly of a weapon. Assembly bays, structurally similar to subassembly bays, are designed to vent through the roof and withstand pressure from an explosion in adjacent bays.

Beginning in the third quarter of FY 1987 a total of forty subassembly bays will be required. Based on future



Figure 42 Igloos at Pantex

weapon production workload projections (beyond FY 1987), it is anticipated that a total of sixty subassembly and assembly bays will be required to support a five-dayper-week, two-shift-per-day operation. Therefore, site planning for the sixteen subassembly bays will include provisions for a 36-unit complex.⁵

The reliability assurance program for nuclear weapons and the research and development work on high explosives for DOE require laboratory facilities for destructive and nondestructive testing. This work employs techniques for accelerated aging of materials, neutron radiography, measurement of chemical and physical properties, and test firing.

The Temporary Holding area is a safe and secure place for high explosives and nuclear materials not being worked on. "Igloos" (earth-covered, bunker-like structures) temporarily hold the high explosives and nuclear weapons (see Figure 42).

FY 1983 funding was requested for a radiographic bay with an 8MeV linear accelerator and a damaged and disabled weapons disassembly facility.⁶

Management

Pantex is a GOCO facility operated for DOE by Mason and Hanger-Silas Mason Company. Inc., of Lexington, Kentucky. The Office of Military Application under the ASDP is responsible for the program direction. This responsibility has been delegated to the Albuquerque Operations Office, which also administers the DOE contract with Mason and Hanger-Silas Mason. Mason and Hanger-Silas Mason performed the rehabilitation of the portion of the old Pantex Army Ordnance Plant in

² HAC, FY 1965 EWDA, Part 4, p. 251.

³ Ibid. p. 314. 4 Ibid. p. 315.

⁷⁸ Nuclear Weapons Databook, Volume III

1951 (see History, above) and the Army Ordnance Corps contracted the services of Procter and Gamble Defense Corp. to operate the plant initially. Mason and Hanger-Silas Mason was selected by the Army Ordnance Corps to operate the plant on 1 October 1956.

BUDGET7 (\$ million):	FY	DOE Defense Programs
	1981	79.3
	1982	140.3
	1983	131.8
	1984	147.3
	1985	190.4
	1986	198.0
	1986	198.

ASSETS

Capital Investment and equipment, \$102.9 million (FY 1980). The facilities at the Pantex Plant include some 288 buildings totaling approximately 1.5 million square feet of floor space (1976).8





PERSONNEL:9

FY (end)	Pantex	Burlington	
1971	1697	1106	
 1972	1748	1105	
1973	1743	1133	
1974	1817	689	
1975 (Sep)	1896	closed	
1976	1792		
1977	1820		
1978	1889		
1979	2100		
1980	2225		
1981	2306		
1982	2517		
1983	2603		
1984	2732		
1985 (Mar)	2749		

7 Cuthays, Figures 1984-85 Estimated costs from DOE. FT 1998 Budget Request Estimates for LabsPlants, Office of the Costroller, 32 February 1985, p. 3.

8 SRDA, Environmental Assessment Pantas Plant, June 1976, p. 1-7.

⁹ D05, GOCO Propinyment, Computer printnet for Office of Industrial Relations, R-5329303-012, 29 August 1985.

Pinellas Plant

Pinellas Plant



Figure 44 Aerial View of Pinellas Plant

ADDRESS:	General Electric Company Neutron Devices Department	ESTABLISHMENT:	Construction began in 1956, with production operations in 1957
	P.O. Box 2908 Largo, FL 34294	BUDGET:	\$138.6 million (FY 1986)
	813/541-8173	PERSONNEL:	1926 (March 1985)
LOCATION:	Central Pinellas County, about midway between St. Petersburg and Clearwater, Florida; 96.9 acre site	FACILITIES:	 Ultra-high vacuum tube processing Thin metallic film evaporation-deposition-hydriding High voltage subcomponents
MISSION:	Manufacture neutron generators and other special electronic and mechanical components of nucle- ar weapons		 Figh-voltage subcomponents printed circuit boards and other electronics manufacturing Ceramic metalizing High-voltage encapsulation Glass working
MANAGEMENT:	GOCO facility operated for DOE by General Electric Company (Nu- clear Division), under manage- ment of Albuquerque Operations Office		 Specialty brazing Assembly, testing, and resin encapsulation facilities for high voltage components

Pinellas Plant

Nuclear Weapons Activities

The Pinellas Plant is responsible for the production of components for nuclear weapons. Such components include neutron generators and their associated power supplies, thermal batteries, specialty capacitors and switches, and other special electrical and electronic components. The generators are designed at Sandia Laboratories. They are about the size of a fist, and are used to initiate the fission chain reaction in nuclear weapons. Neutron generator development was formerly carried out by GE in Milwaukee, but in 1966 these activities were transferred to Pinellas.³

Pinellas also manufactures neutron detectors, radioisotopically powered thermoelectric generators (RTG) using heat sources of plutonium typically encapsulated in metal (each capsule containing a few grams of plutonium-238 oxide) produced at the Mound Laboratory, lightning arrestor connectors to protect nuclear weapons in the field from lightning, thermopiles, speciality capacitors and switches, and sophisticated product testers.

All activities at Pinellas are related to the production of nuclear weapons.

Facilities

The processing of tritium (tritium is used in neutron generators) and the handling of encapsulated Pu-238 heat sources are involved in making some end products that are produced in relatively small quantities. These products generally require highly developed technologies and must meet high quality standards.

Manufacturing technologies at Pinellas include ultra-high vacuum tube processing, thin metallic film evaporation-deposition-hydriding, high-voltage subcomponents, printed circuit boards and other electronics, ceramic metalizing, high-voltage encapsulation, glass working, and specialty brazing. There are facilities for assembly, testing and resin encapsulation of components to withstand high voltage operation. Thermoelectric generators are assembled in glove boxes to protect against leakage of plutonium and provide a super dry environment.

Management

The Pinellas Plant is a GOCO facility operated for DOE by the General Electric Company (Nuclear Division). Construction of the Pinellas Plant by the General Electric Company was begun in 1956 and 1957. Shortly thereafter the AEC exercised an option to purchase the plant from GE, which continued to operate the plant as a GOCO facility. The Office of Military Application under the ASDP is responsible for program direction. This responsibility has been delegated to the Albuquerque Operations Office, which also administers the DOE contract with GE.

BUDGET ² (\$ million):	FY	DOE Defense Programs
	1981	61.9
	1982	80.8
	1983	94.0
	1984	122.8
	1985	137.9
	1986	138.6
ASSETS	Capital Invest	ment and equip-

Capital Investment and equipment, \$388.0 million (FY 1980). Nine buildings cover almost 400,000 square feet.

PERSONNEL:3	End FY	Pinellas (GE)
	1971	1260
	1972	1243
	1973	1224
	1974	1220
	1975 (Sep)	1109
	1976	1123
	1977	1202
	1978	1260
	1979	1435
	1980	1520
	1981	1786
	1982	1762
	1983	1841
	1984	1918
	1985 (Mar)	1926

¹ ASC, Report to Congress, January 1987, p. 124.

² Outlays: Figures 1864-86 Retirnated rests from DOE, FV 1800 Budget Request Estimates for Labs/Plasts, Office of the Controller, 22 February 1965, p. 73.

¹ DOE, GOCO Employment, Computer printmat for Office of Industrial Relations, 8-5525309-012, 29 August 1983.

Rocky Flats Plant



Figure 45 Aeriel View of Rocky Flats Plant

ADDRESS:	Rockwell International Energy Systems Group P.O. Box 464 Golden, CO 80401 303/966-7000 303/966-7883 (Public Affairs)
LOCATION:	Between Golden and Boulder, Colorado, about 21 miles north- west of Denver; 6551-acre (11- square-mile) site
MISSION:	Fabrication, assembly, and quality testing of radioactive and nonradioactive components, in the pits ¹ of nuclear weapons
MANAGEMENT:	GOCO facility operated for DOE by Rockwell International, under management of the Albuquerque Operations Office

1 The "pit" is that part of an implesion type flaster weapon or fission trigger of a thermousclear weapon inside the chemical high explosive material.

ESTABLISHMENT:	Plant construction began 1951 and operations began 1952.
BUDGET:	\$484.9 million (FY 1986)
PERSONNEL:	5991 (March 1985)
FACILITIES:	Numerous facilities for plutonium processing and plutonium, urani- um, and beryllium fabrication

Source DOE.

Nuclear Weapons Activities

At Rocky Flats all plutonium fabrication, pit assembly, surveillance, and pit disassembly following retirement is conducted for the nuclear warhead production complex. Rocky Flats also has sole responsibility within the integrated production complex for recovery of plutonium and americium (by chemical processing) from weapon retirement and fabrication-process residues (scrap). The plant is engaged primarily in metal produc-



Figure 48 Location of Rocky Flats Pient within a 50-mile redius

tion and chemical processing, with heavy emphasis on production-related research. Production activities include fabrication of plutonium and uranium alloy and the fabrication and assembly of conventional metal components.

Rocky Flats manufactures the plutonium parts of nuclear warhead cores, uranium and beryllium tampers, and special stainless steel components, including tritium reservoirs (storage bottles) and other nuclear warhead components.² The plant fabricates other component parts of beryllium metal, plus the thin shells/cans to contain plutonium parts and other nonfissionable metallic pit components. The plant also fabricates depleted uranium components (tampers) and has the capability to fabricate components of enriched uranium and U-233. It fabricated some HEU components until mid-1965 when these activities were transferred to the Y-12 plant where similar work was being done.

The plant conducts applicable research and provides development support to the weapon laboratories in its area of expertise. Emphasis is placed on specialized areas of technology, such as plutonium materials, pro-



Figure 47 Glove Box Area, Rocky Flats Plant

cesses, and handling, for which the plant is uniquely equipped.

Facilities

Plutonium ingots are processed through metallurgical operations involving reduction, rolling, blanketing, forming and heat treating. Chemical operations include purification of plutonium and beryllium (scrap recovery) and a unique capability for extracting and purifying americium-241 from plutonium for shipment to an isotope storage and distribution center in Oak Ridge for subsequent industry-wide use. A new Plutonium Recovery and Waste Treatment Facility at Rocky Flats began production in March 1962. Plutonium scrap generated at Rocky Flats and all plutonium from weapons retirements is processed in this facility.

Plutonium processing and metal production is conducted in a multi-building complex centered around Buildings 371, 771, and 776. Building 371 (formerly referred to as the Plutonium Recovery Facility) conducts electrorefining (pyrochemical plutonium metal purification), calcination of direct oxide reduction feed, and a variety of packaging, storage, and nondestructive assay activities. Building 371 also houses a new aqueous process which has not operated satisfactorily. Building 771 conducts aqueous processing, provides primary recovery and purification of plutonium residues, nondestructive assays, and a variety of packaging, research and development, and laboratory activities. Building 776 conducts pyrochemistry processing-purification of plutonium via pyrochemistry-americium removal, plutonium oxide reduction to metal, and waste packaging and incineration activities. Building 374 and 774 are liquid waste processing facilities associated with Buildings 371 and 771, respectively.

² Trittum reservous are loaded at the Savannah River Plant



Figure 48 Handling Plutonium "Button" in "Dry Box"

Plutonium processing and fabrication is generally conducted in glove boxes.³ Plutonium ingots and parts are stored in closed containers in vaults. Fabrication of warhead components includes subcomponent forming and joining, plus inspection and verification testing of finished components. A new hot isostatic press for beryllium technology applications, for pressing special shapes and for bonding dissimilar metals was requested (FY 1985 budget).⁴

Equipment exists for rolling, conventional forming, hydroforming, high-energy-rate forming, extruding, heat treating, shearing, machining, electroplating, and inspecting of many kinds of nonradioactive metals. Nonnuclear manufacturing is performed in two major buildings—Building 881 (Manufacture and General Support Building) and Building 444 (Production Shop).

Specialized support activities at Rocky Flats include accountability, safeguards, health, safety, environmental protection, and waste management.

Management

The Rocky Flats Plant is a GOCO facility operated for DOE by Rockwell International, which assumed operation of the plant from Dow Chemical on 1 July 1975. The Office of Military Application under the ASDP is respon-



Figure 49 Beryllium Foundry, Rocky Flats Plant

Ŧ

ï

ASSETS

sible for program direction. This responsibility is delegated to the Albuquerque Operations Office, which also administers the Rockwell contract with DOE.

FY	DOE Defense Programs	Total DOE
1981	174.7	187.3
1982	240.6	245.6
1983	308.4	312.9
1984	394.8	398.3
1985	465.6	467.7
1986	484.9	484.9
	FY 1981 1982 1983 1984 1985 1986	FY Programs 1981 174.7 1982 240.6 1983 308.4 1984 394.8 1985 465.6 1986 484.9

There are more than 2 million square feet of building floor space in over 100 structures at Rocky Flats. Capital investment and equipment, \$422.9 (FY 1980).

UCE, FEIS, Bocky Flats Plant Sile, DOE/EIS-0064, April 1580, Volume 1, pp. 2-89 to 2-105
 EAC, FY 1885 EWOA, Part 4, p. 233.

Outloys: Figures 1944-66 estimated costs from DOK, PY 1966 Bedget Request Estimates for Labs/Flacts, Office of the Controller, 22 February 1965, pp. 51-82.



Figure 50 Plutonium Recovery Area, Rocky Flats Plant.

PERSONNEL:6

End FY	Rocky Flats		
1971	3722		
1972	3568		
1973	3310		
1974	2937		
1975 (Sep)	2783		
1976	2735		
1977	2879		
1978	3209		
1979	3222		
1980	3596		
1981	4095		
1982	4898		
1983	5335		
1984	5867		
1985 (Mar)	5991		

8 DOR, GOOD Employment, Computer printout for Office of Industrial Relations, R-5529009-012, 29 August 1995.

Sandia National Laboratories

Sandia National Laboratories (SNL)



Figure 51 Aerial View of Sandia National Laboratory Albuquerque

ADDRESS: U.S. Department of Energy Sandia National Laboratories P.O. Box 5800 Albuquerque, NM 87185 505/844-5678 505/844-8066 (Public Information) 415/422-7011 (Livermore)

LOCATION: Laboratories at Albuquerque, New Mexico (SNLA), and Livermore, California (SNLL); also operates Tonopah Test Range (TTR), Nevada. Including Tonopah, Sandia operations utilize over 370,000 acres: 39,500 acres at Albuquerque (3100 acres DOE/Sandia, 11,100 acres co-use with DOE and DOD, 25,300 acres National Forest MISSION:

Service withdrawal land); 185 acres at Livermore,² 369,280 acres at TTR. In 1971 Kirtland AFB and Sandia-Albuquerque merged into one base under Air Force control.

Source SNL

Multiprogram laboratory with emphasis on research, development and engineering of all components of nuclear weapons systems, except the nuclear explosive components. Sandia takes nuclear devices developed and tested by LANL and LLNL and performs the R&D necessary to incorporate these devices into operational weapons.

Sandia has shared responsibility with LANL and LLNL for the

2 Plans by FY 1966 are to sequite an additional 386 acres of buffer land surrounding SNLL and LLNL to protect against demonstrations, possible terrorist attacks, and covert surveillance; HAC, FY 1985 EWDA, Part 4, pp. 188-69.

¹ Formerly Sandia Laboratories.



Figure 52 Map of Albuquerque, Kirtland Air Force Base, and Sendia Laboratories Source BNL

design of every nuclear weapon type now in the stockpile and continues to support both nuclear design labs on each assigned program.

MANAGEMENT: GOCO facility managed for DOE by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of AT&T Technologies, Inc. (formerly Western Electric Company), under management of the Albuquerque Operations Office

ESTABLISHMENT:	1945 (SNLA): 1956 (SNLL)		
BUDGET:	\$1102.6 million, total lab budget (FY 1986)		
PERSONNEL:	8480 total lab (March 1985)		
FACILITIES:	(see text under Facilities heading)		

Sandia National Laboratories

Nuclear Weapons Activities

In conjunction with the two design laboratories, Sandia is responsible for the research and development associated with weapon engineering for all phases of the nuclear warhead life cycle. Sandia's major priorities in weapon R&D are new weapon engineering development (Phase 3) programs that are currently active and anticipated, and engineering development to improve weapons now in the stockpile. Its responsibilities include the non-nuclear aspects of nuclear weapon ordnance engineering, specifically the design of electrical system safing, arming, fuzing, and firing systems, neutron generators, tritium reservoirs, weapon structures (cases), aerodynamic shapes, parachutes, and other related delivery devices. No weapon manufacturing or assembly is done at Sandia except for research or test purposes; that function is performed by DOE's manufacturing facilities and private industry, using design and processing information provided by Sandia and other weapon laboratories. Sandia designs and develops Joint Test Assemblies (JTAs) that are assembled at Pantex. Its major testing activity, however, is the study of the vulnerability of warheads to nuclear weapon effects.

Sandia also conducts research in nuclear weapon safety, security, and control and is involved in the training of military personnel to assemble and maintain completed weapons. It is the lead laboratory for developing safe and secure transportation systems and secure manufacturing and storage facilities for nuclear weapons.

Sandia supplies radiation hardened, large-scale integrated circuits (computer chips) to the Bendix Plant for use in nuclear weapons. (The 1982 order exceeded 60,000 units.)³

In the area of verification and control technology Sandia's programs include the development and deployment of satellite-borne instrumentation to verify compliance with the Limited Test Ban Treaty, the development and evaluation of automated seismic stations that would help verify threshold or comprehensive test bans (the Regional Seismic Test Network deployed at five locations in the United States and Canada beginning in 1982 and the new experimental array in Norway), and the assessment and analysis of foreign weapons and weapon development programs.

Sandia is principal laboratory for R&D on physical protection and for containment and surveillance measures that pertain to DOE facilities subject to international safeguards or to foreign facilities of high national interest.

In the FY 1986 budget the DOE requested money for a Strategic Defense Facility at Sandia planned to be completed in 1991 at a cost of \$70 million. The facility, supporting President Reagan's Strategic Defense Initiative, would house research on directed energy weapons in the form of particle beams, x-ray lasers, microwaves, and kinetic energy.

3 NAC, FY 1985 EWDA, Fart 4, p. 154.

Sandia National Laboratories



Figure 53 Annial View of Sancia National Laboratory Livermore

Sandia participates in the ICF Program jointly with LLNL, LANL, and their supporting laboratories. It is the lead laboratory for pulsed power development. Sandia's involvement with pulse power fusion grew from military requirements to test weapon components for hardness to nuclear radiation. A high voltage and high current beam striking a high atomic number metal plate produces a burst of x-rays simulating a nuclear blast.

In the 1970s it was recognized that electron beams used for simulation purposes could be concentrated to high enough intensities for ICF research.⁴ This research currently concentrates on pulse power accelerators (very high voltage pulses of either electrons or preferably light ions) as fusion drivers and advanced target design. (Target design and DT pellet fabrication is done in collaboration with LANL.)

The near-term goal is to establish the feasibility of ICF using intense ion beams or imploding foils driven directly by pulse-power generators. The program is oriented toward military applications (simulation of nuclear weapons effects) with demonstration fuel ignition a secondary goal. An evaluation of the ICF program is planned in the FY 1987 time frame for further contriSource: SNLL

butions to weapon physics research and possible development as an energy source.

Sandia now generates about 80 percent of the product definitions (component design specifications) used by the warhead production complex. Currently 25 percent of design is computer generated. In FY 1983 Sandia was named lead lab to develop the data base for an integrated CAD/CAM (computer aided design/computer aided manufacturing) network to link all agencies of the warhead complex, replacing diverse and incompatible CAD/CAM systems already in place.

Other Weapons Activities

Sandia conducts research for the Army, Navy, Air Force, DNA, and DARPA. This work for DOD constitutes almost 13 percent of Sandia's budget. The work for the Army involves upgrading security at NATO nuclear weapon sites, including the Weapons Access Delay and Intrusion Detection System. For the Navy the work is to develop an integrated arming, fuzing, and firing system for the TRIDENT II/Mk 5 reentry vehicle. For the Air Force work involves developing radiation-hardened electronics, sensors, and ground data-processing systems

^{4 &}quot;Particle Born Fusion." Sandia Laboratories.



Figure 54 Site Map of Sandia National Laboratory Livermore

for satellite programs. A second project is RADLAC-2, a joint Sandia-Air Force Weapons Laboratory program to assess the feasibility of electron beams as short range weapons for close-in terminal defense. The RADLAC-2 accelerator is the most powerful electron beam accelerator in the United States. For DNA Sandia provides explosively actuated closure doors for use on weapons effects tests at NTS. The DARPA is supporting exploratory development and flight evaluation to investigate feasibility of using Sandia-developed maneuvering reentry vehicles as advanced interceptors.

Nonweapon Activities

Sandia conducts research and development in nuclear safety and alternative energy programs, including nuclear reactor, fuel, and nuclear waste management (e.g., sub-seabed disposal), solar power research, and electron-beam fusion and laser development. Research on alternative energy systems includes engine combustion, solar thermal power, solar photovoltaic conversion, and wind energy. Although Sandia is primarily an engineering laboratory, it also supports research in the physical sciences.

Sandia has a large computer complex, including two CRAY-1 (one at Albuquerque, one at Livermore) and two CDC 7600s.⁵ Sandia will acquire a Class VII computer in 1987 or 1988.⁸

Facilities

Numerous facilities are used to conduct a wide range of research activities. SNLA has several pulsed and steady state reactors (SPR-I, II, and III, ACPR, and ACRR) used in R&D of neutron radiation-hardened weapon systems, and several x-ray and gamma-ray sources (Rehyd, Hydra, Proto II, and Hermes II) used to simulate weapons effects.

Simulation Technology Laboratory (STL).⁷ (SNLA, construction ends FY 1987.) STL will house the Hermes III accelerator, an advanced gamma-ray simulator, and the Particle Beam Fusion Accelerator-J (PBFA-I), modified as an advanced x-ray simulator. There weapons effects facilities will, in some instances, have capabilites comparable to underground testing. STL facilities will test hardness of future nuclear weapons systems (e.g., MX, TRIDENT II): will offer means to study vulnerability to directed energy devices, the propagation of high-intensity particle beams and the physics of x-ray lasing; and will improve the ability to simulate threats that generate dose-rate-dependent radiaton effects.

Hermes III, an advanced gamma-ray simulator, under construction, will deliver a 0.6 million joule pulse of e-beam energy to a bremsstrahlung converter target, a factor of 10 in performance over the existing Hermes II.

PBFA-I and PBFA-II, while used for weapons effects research, are part of the Inertial Confinement Fusion (ICF) Program.

Particle Beam Fusion Accelerator-I (PBFA-I). PBFA-I, an advanced x-ray simulator, is the first modular pulsed power generator. First operated in 1980 and built at a cost of \$14 million, PBFA-I consists of 36 modules arranged like spokes of a wheel around a fusion pellet target chamber centrally located in the wheel's hub. Each module contains an energy storing Marx generator and a pulse forming/voltage conditioning section. The voltage pulse of each module is converted to a burst of ions in a single diode at the wheel's hub. The ions in turn are directed toward the target at the center. Operating at 2 million volts, PBFA-I produces a 40 nanosecond wide pulse delivering 0.5 million joules of energy and 30 trillion watts of power to electron or light ion sources. PBFA-I is engaged in ion beam fusion and radiation effects experiments using electron beams. There has been significant conversion of pulse power to x-rays.8 Research consists of ion heam focusing experiments with the objective of 5 trillion watts/cm2 and DT pellet target studies.

Particle Beam Fusion Accelerator-II (PBFA-II). PBFA-II is an upgraded pulsed power particle beam accelerator system, configured like PBFA-I, which began operation in December 1985. PBFA-II is a 108-foot diame-

HAC, FY 1985 EWBA, Part 6, p. 127. SNL, Institutional Plan FY 1984-1988, pp. 12-52.
 A Class VII computer is defined as one baving at least four times the competing capacity of a CRAY-1.

EAC, FY USINS EWDA, Part 4, pp. 191-94.

⁶ HASC, FY 1883 DOL: p. 130.

Sandia National Laboratories

ter wheel 20 feet in height, housing thirty-six particle accelerators. PBFA-II is designed to operate at 4 million volts and deliver a 40 nanosecond pulse with approximately 3.5 million joules of energy and 100 trillion watts of peak power to light ion sources, providing power pulses at target of 50 trillion watts/cm2. PBFA-II will operate initially with lithium ions rather than protons.9 Plans are to conduct DT fusion ignition scaling experiments. Like PBFA-I, it will principally benefit studies for military applications.10

The Center for Radiation-hardened Microelectronics at SNLA provides SNLA with an in-house capability to design and fabricate large-scale integrated circuits and conduct research for DOD and DOE in high-temperature and microwave electronics, and on radiation hardened micro- and opto-electronic circuits.

The Radiation-Hardened Integrated Circuit Laboratory (LSI Facility) at SNLA, established 1974, develops radiation-hardened large-scale integration (LSI) circuit technologies and designs for electronic packages of nuclear warheads (e.g., W78, W81, W82, B83, W84, B61-6,-7,-8). This facility was designed to provide a backup production source in the event the U.S. semiconductor industry cannot satisfy DOE production needs. SNLA does significant production for DOE (Bendix Plant acquired 5000 chips and packaged integrated circuits in 1981, orders exceeded 60,000 units in 1982), for DOD, and other agencies. Responsibility for operation of LSI clean room is currently being transferred to Bendix.

The Radiation-Hardened Integrated Circuit Laboratory (LSI Facility)11 at SNLA, is scheduled to be completed in FY 1987 at a cost of over \$40.5 million. The facility will develop the next generation of very-largescale integrated (LSI) circuit technologies to meet more complex requirements of 1990s in weapon capability, accuracy, command and control, safety, and security.

A wide range of other research facilities exist. These include, for example:

- Lightning Facility (SNLA).¹²
- . Rocket Sled Test Track (SNLA). 5000 ft test track; extended track to 10,000 feet by December 1984
- . Safeguards Light Laboratory (SNLA, completed FY 1980)
- Weapons Laboratory Building (SNLL, construction ends FY 1987, cost \$20.4 million). To support state-of-the-art R&D in electronics, applied physics, and materials science for application to components of nuclear weapons.13
- Instrumentation Systems Laboratory (SNLA, construction FY 1986-88, cost \$20 million). Major technical programs to be located in this facility include: (1) development of telemetry systems to support weapon JTA and flight evaluations, especially TRIDENT II; (2) weapon/aircraft compati-

HAC, FY 1985 EWDA, Part 4, p. 167

90 Nuclear Weapons Databook, Volume III bility evaluations (the B1-B and B61-6,8); (3) satellite instrumentation system development associated with nuclear-burst treaty verification and monitoring; and (4) new SDI instrumentation for tracking, focusing, orientation, and damage assessment

- Facilities to study solid state materials. The Combustion Research Facility (SNLL) contributes to the solution of combustion science problems
- Facilities at the Pantex Plant for quality assurance test operations
- Reactor facilities to simulate effects inside power reactors

SNLA Technical Areas.¹⁴

SNLA is divided into five major technical areas on Kirtland Air Force Base (plus remote test sites on undeveloped and mountainous terrain covering more than 50 square miles).

Area I: Primary laboratory area; 100 acres; 100 buildings housing majority of technical and administrative staff, laboratories, test facilities, fabrication and assembly areas; large volume of classified information and material; small quantities of nuclear and radioactive materials.

Area II: Remote 300-acre area; 40 buildings and storage igloos housing facilites for testing and storing explosive devices.

Area III: Remote 1900-acre environmental test area; some 50 buildings housing flash x-ray facilities15 and numerous other test facilites unique to development testing of nuclear weapons.

Area IV: Particle Beam Fusion (PBF) research: 250acre plot with approximately 12 buildings.

Area V: Sandia Reactor Facility; some 15 buildings on 20 acres, housing various reactors and a dosimetry laboratory:16 large quantities of special nuclear materials and other radioactive materials.

Management

Sandia is a GOCO facility managed for DOE by Sandia Corporation, a wholly owned subsidiary of AT&T Technologies, Inc. (formerly Western Electric Company), which is a subsidiary of the American Telephone and Telegraph Company. Sandia Laboratory at Albuquerque was operated by the University of California from 1948 until 1949, when at the request of President Truman the Bell System assumed responsibility for managing the facility, and the contract was taken over by the Western Electric Company. Since 1949 Sandia Laboratories has been operated under a no-fee, no-profit contract basis. The Office of Military Application under the ASDP is responsible for technical direction, while the Sandia contract is administered by the Albuquerque Operations Office.

⁹ HAC, FY 1985 EWDA, Part 4, p. 212

to flid.

HAC, FY 1965 EWDA, Part 4, p. 134. 11

¹⁸ Rid., pp. 121-22. 14 HAC, FY 1985 EWDA, Fart 4, p. 172.

¹⁵ fluid., p. 105. 18 fbid.

Sandia National Laboratories

LAB ACTIVITIES	PERSONNEL:19 Sandia								
BY PROGRAM						Albu-	Liver-		Weapons
(FY 1984):27	Defen:	se Program	IS	68.0%	End FY	querque	more	Total	Activities
	Conservation and			1971	6307	990	7297		
	Renewable Energy 4.5 Nuclear Energy 0.2 Fossil Energy 2.0			4.5%	1972	6237	986	7223	
				0.2%	1973	5529	872	6401	
				2.0%	1974	5609	868	6447	4029(62%)
	Energy	y Research		2.6%	1975 (Sen)	5652	887	6539	4303(86%)
	Work	for Others			1976	6060	962	7022	4200/61%
	Dep	artment of	Defense	12.6%	1977	6273	991	7264	3777(52%)
	Nuc	lear Regul	atory		1978	6471	1007	7478	3754(50%)
	C	ommission	1	4.5%	1979	6588	1030	7618	3565(47%)
	Oth	ers		2.5%	1980	6702	1055	7847	3602(46%)
	Civilia	an Radioad	tive	10000	1981	6935	1083	8018	3584(45%)
	Waste Management		2.0%	1982	6862	1088	7050	3816(49%)	
	Other	DOE		1.1%	1083	7024	1106	9130	3051(40%)
					1094	7326	1100	8497	4052(49%)
		Total Lab			1985 (Mar)	7385	1095	8480	4138(49%)
RIDGET18		Fund-	DOEL	Defense					
(\$ million):	FY	ing	Program	ns Total					
	1983	827.0	525.6	(64%)					
	1984	921.3	588.8	(64%)					
	1985	1011.7	673.0	(61%)					
	1986	1102.6	737.4	(67%)					

ASSETS

Capital Investment and equipment, \$422.5 million (FY 1980). Sandia Laboratories comprises nearly 400 buildings containing some 3.4 million square feet of floor space (2.8 million square feet at Albuquerque, 0.5 million at Livermore and 0.1 million at Tonopah).

10 DOE, GOOD Employment, Computer printmet for Office of Industrial Relations. R-9529309-012, 29 August 1995. Percentage for weapons activities based on PTEs from Vol-urae B, Table 2.2.

Sandia National Laboratories, Institutional Plan FY 1985-FY 1993, μ. 8. Percentages reflect direct full-time equivalent personnel.
 Sandia National Laboratories Institutional Plans, FY 1984-FY 1988, FY 1985-FY 1986; FY

¹⁰⁹⁶⁻FY 1991.

Savannah River Plant

Savannah River Plant (SRP)



Figure 55 Main Administration Area SRP

ADDRESS:	U.S. Department of Energy Savannah River Operations Office P.O. Box A Aiken, SC 29802 803/725-6211	
LOCATION:	192,323-acre site [300 square miles] spread over three counties in South Carolina (67,512 acres in Aiken County, 120,727 acres in Barnwell County, and 4,084 acres in Allendale County], some 12	MANAGEMENT:
	miles south of Aiken. South Caro- lina.1	ESTABLISHMENT
MISSION:	Production of nuclear materials for nuclear weapons, primarily plutonium, tritium, and heavy	BUDGET:

water. SRP's mission over the

years has been broadened to include production of small quantities of other radioisotopes2 and handling nuclear weapon components (filling tritium reservoirs).

GOCO facility operated for DOE by E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company under the management of the Savannah River Operations Office

ABLISHMENT: Site selection 1950, construction temporary facilities 1951; operations begun 1952; construction basic plant finished 1956

BUDGET:

\$1199.2 million, (FY 1986)

2 The isotopes Pu-238, U-233, Co-60, Am-241, Cm-244, and Cf-242, are produced primarily for nondefense purposas.

¹ The Sevennek River Plant, DCE 58-002, Sevannah River Operations Office. Revised 1990. p. 13

PERSONNEL:

15,480 total on-site (end FY 1985)

FACILITIES:

- Production Reactors
- Fuel and Target Fabrication Facilities
- Chemical Separation Plants
- Heavy Water Plant and Rework Unit
- Tritium Facility
- Savannah River Laboratory
- Defense Waste Processing Facility (Future)
- Naval Fuels Facility (Future)
- U-236 Production Plant (Future)
- Savannah River Ecology Laboratory
- Aquatic Research Laboratory
- Savannah River National Environmental Research Park

History

Site selection for a new production facility was begun by the AEC in June 1950 and selection of the (originally) 250,000-acre Savannah River site south of Aiken, South Carolina, was announced by the AEC on 22 November 1950. DuPont was chosen to construct and operate the facilities. Construction of temporary facilities began in February 1951. Operations commenced with the startup of the heavy water plant on 3 October 1952. Construction of the basic plant was completed in 1956 at a cost in excess of \$1.1 billion (including \$18.957 million for the site).

The decision in 1950 to go ahead with SRP was driven by the perceived need for tritium in the fusion weapon program following President Truman's directive of 31 January 1950 to develop a thermonuclear weapon (the "super").3 While production of tritium in the Hanford reactor (for research at Los Alamos) had been demonstrated, the new plant at Savannah River was necessary to avoid serious curtailment in the production of plutonium at Hanford for the fission program.4 The heavy water reactors at Savannah River were to be more efficient than the graphite reactors at Hanford, and the design would afford the greatest flexibility for the manufacture of either plutonium or tritium.5 Even if thermonuclear weapons proved unfeasible or tritium requirements turned out to be less than anticipated, as indeed was the case, the construction of SRP was further justified on the following grounds; to increase plutonium production, to provide a higher efficiency in the use of uranium ore, to provide security against attack of the Hanford reactors, and to be replacement reactors in the event of retirement of older Hanford reactors).6

Nuclear Weapons Activities Nuclear Material Production

SRP produces the major portion of the plutonium and all the tritium required for weapons. (The N-Reactor at Hanford also produces plutonium for weapons.) SRP has also been the sole producer of heavy water in the United States, but the production plant was shut down in early 1982. (See Heavy Water Plant.) SRP is the sole source of heavy water (from stocks), tritium, and Pu-238 in the United States. The Savannah River Laboratory conducts research in a number of areas to support operation of plant facilities (see Savannah River Laboratory).

Nuclear Weapon Production

Savannah River's weapon production activities consist of the extraction and purification of tritium from irradiated targets, the loading of tritium components of weapons, and the purification of tritium recovered from retired weapons. To offset a potential interruption in production at the Pinellas Plant, DOE has implemented a program to stockpile certain neutron generator types at SRP.

Nonweapon Activities

The Savannah River Laboratory conducts research on high level radioactive waste and a variety of support activities for DOE energy technology and enrichment programs. (See Savannah River Laboratory.)

Facilities

Production Reactors

Four heavy-water-moderated production reactors (P.K.L.C) are being operated to produce tritium (predominately in C-Reactor)⁷ and super-grade plutonium (predominately in K, L, and P-Reactors). An additional production reactor has been maintained in standby since 1964. The L-Reactor, also on standby from 1968 to 31 October 1985, was scheduled for startup in October 1983 but was held up by Congress and the federal courts for environmental reasons. (For further details see Savannah River Production Reactors.) A small test reactor (V) is in standby condition.⁸

Fuel Cycle Facilities⁹

Facilities are operated to fabricate reactor fuel and target elements for use in the onsite production reactors. (See Savannah River Fuel and Target Fabrication Facilities.)

Enriched uranium-aluminum alloy from the Y-12 Plant at Oak Ridge is extruded into tubes of driver fuel for the SRP production reactors. Depleted uranium target slugs from the Feed Materials Processing Center (FMPC) at Fernald are canned in aluminum at SRP and used as targets for plutonium production in SRP production reactors. Enriched lithium (Li-6) from the Y-12 Plant is

Lee Bowen, A History of the Aix Force Anomic Encry Program 1943-1953, Vol. IV, The Development of Weapons, U.S. Air Force Historical Division, p. 30.

⁴ Bid., p. 32. 5 Brid.

⁶ Statement by ABC Cheizman. May 1950, Ibid., p. 32.

⁷ HAC, FY 1985 EWDA, Part 4. p. 427.

⁸ Control Technology for Reducetive Emissions to the Almosphere at U.S. Department of Energy Facilities, PNL-4261, Oraft, Pacific Nethwork (aboratories, Match 1983), p. 10.2.

F.K. Dakes and R.W. Bergamin, Savannah River Plant Airborne Emissions and Cantrols, DPST-92-1094, Savannah River Laboratory, DOE Research and Development and Field Pacifities, DOE/ER 6029, June 1979, p. V-16.

Savannah River Plant



Figure 56 The Savannah River Plant Site*

processed into lithium-aluminum targets for tritium production at SRP.

Irradiated fuel and target elements from Savannah River production reactors and from (offsite) research reactors (domestic and foreign) are processed in two chemical separation plants, F and H (see Savannah River Chemical Separation Facilities).

The Multi-Purpose Processing Facility is used to separate special isotopic materials such as americium-241 and plutonium-238. Heavy Water production at Savannah River has ceased, but the Rework Unit operates to purify D₂O used in reactors. The Central Scrap Management Office (CSMO) manages the recovered Pu-238 and Pu-239 scrap. This office has a computerized data base for Pu-239 scrap.

Tritium Facility

Tritium separation, purification, and loading activities are conducted in three major buildings that make up the Savannah River Tritium Facility (see Tritium Recovery under Savannah River Chemical Separations Facilities).

Savannah River Laboratory

The Savannah River Laboratory provides support for

12 U.S. NRC, in the Matter of Naclear Poel Services, Docket No. Q0-143, SNM License No. 124, Department of Energy Response to Quantizatio, 1 March 1983. nuclear material production and other DOE program activities.

Defense Waste Processing Facility

Construction of the Defense Waste Processing Facility (DWPF) began in October 1983 for scheduled operation in 1989 (estimated cost \$910 million). The DWPF will dissolve and solidify the sludge component of Savannah River high level waste (HLW) in borosilicate glass, encasing the glass in stainless steel canisters (2 feet in diameter and 10 feet high) for dry storage or disposal in geologic repositories. The HLW (some 30 million gallons of liquid, salt cake and sludge) is stored at SRP in single and double shelled stainless steel tanks. DWPF will process about 2 million gallons of waste per year.¹⁰

Naval Fuels Facility

A new DOE-owned facility for the production of naval nuclear fuels—the Fuels Materials Facility (FMF)—began construction in late 1983¹¹ and will be completed at Savannah River in 1986 (estimated cost \$176 million) for operation by duPont in the late 1980s as a backup to the NRC licensed production plant operated by Nuclear Fuel Services at Erwin, Tennessee.¹²

U-236 Plant13

A U-236 Production Plant is scheduled for initial operation at SRP in 1987 with full production to begin in FY 1988.¹⁴ The U-236 Program has been undertaken by DOE-SRP in collaboration with TRW and Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. The plant will utilize the TRW plasma separation process (PSP) to improve SR reactor productivity by removal of the uranium-236 buildup in recycled fuel as well as removal of the isotopes U-234 and U-238.

The production goal of 2-3 MT of U-235 per year in a ten-year PSP campaign will also provide some 75 percent of Savannah River U-236 requirements (U-236 is used in the production of Pu-238). The U-236 plant will use an existing building at SR adjacent to the enriched fuel tube fabrication building and an existing PSP module, which is production size and has demonstrated U-235/U-236 separation at near production conditions. The building will contain machinery for fabricating separate feed plates. Installation began in FY 1985.

Management

SRP is a GOCO facility operated for DOE by E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company. Defense activities are administered by the DOE Assistant Secretary for Defense Programs (primarily the Offices of Nuclear Materials Production, Military Application, and Defense Waste and Byproducts Management) through the DOE Savannah River Operations Office. Activities at the Tritium Facility are the responsibility of the Albuquerque Operations

14 HAC, FY 1985 EWDA, Part 4, p. 434

[#] ERDA, Sevenneh River Operations Office: "Integrated Radioactive Waste Management Part, Sevenneh River Plant, Aute, South Carsina." SRC-RWM-76-1, June 1976.

¹⁰ HASC FY 1984 DUE pp. 283-86.

¹¹ Inside Energy, 22 August 1983, p. 18.

¹³ Plasma Separation Process, TRW Easegy Development Group, Kodondo Brach, CA, (undated, May 1983).
Savannah River Plant

Office. E.I. duPont de Nemours and Company designed, built and has operated the Savannah River Plant since its establishment in 1950. PERSONNEL:16

Beginning in FY 1985, Wackenhut Service, Inc. assumed responsibility for physical security. In March 1985 approximately 700 personnel were under contract.

BUDGET (SRP)15 (\$ million):	FY	Total
	1975	213.1
	1976	346.1
	1977	324.0
	1978	393.6
	1979	361.3
	1980	422.0
	1981	520.5
	1982	732.2
	1983	861.2
	1984	1084.5
	1985	1394.5
	1986	1199.2



Figure 57 Mester-Slave Manipulators, Savannah River Laboratory

These master-slave manipulators permit handling of radioactive materials by Savanneh River Laboratory scientists.

15	"SRP Builget in Second Billion."	Aiken Stansland, 1 October	r 1984, p.	18A: SKP Operations
	Office.			

Information provided by DOE Operations Office SRP, (annary and June 1984 and Newamber 2985, For FY 1982-FY 1983 Operations column excludes SRL, Sevanash Rever Ecol-

End FY	Operations	Construction	On-Site Total
1951	255	8044	8299
1952	1046	35,758	27,129
1953	4684	23,759	28,784
1954	7981	12,327	19,729
1955	8328	3055	11,745
1956	8177	1857	10,584
1957	7181	2247	10,295
1958	6852	1820	9172
1959	6655	596	7573
1960	6535	865	7616
1961	6614	1009	7244
1962	6743	938	7890
1963	6320	851	7681
1964	5679	714	6787
1965	5591	625	6457
1966	5584	530	6331
1967	5622	602	6462
1968	5295	632	6174
1969	5210	573	6037
1970	5138	386	5770
1971	5127	571	5946
1972	5201	627	5979
1973	5250	534	5973
1974	4993	686	6086
1975	5263	1027	6343
1976	5414	1214	6879
1977	5690	1383	7317
1978	5850	1785	7928
1979	5769	1978	7747
1980	6100	1883	7983
1981	6596	2080	8676
1982	6675	1243	9245
1983	6889	3117	11,121
1984	8098	4757	13,459
1985	6647	6055	15,480

ogy Lab, Wackenheit, DOE, and U.S. Forest Service, but they are included in the Total column.

Savannah River Laboratory

Savannah River Laboratory (SRL)

ADDRESS:	Savannah River Laboratory Aiken, SC 29808 803/725-3422		
LOCATION:	700-A area of Savannah River Plant		
MISSION:	The Laboratory's primary function is to support the Savannah River Plant (SRP)		
MANAGEMENT:	See Savannah River Plant		
ESTABLISHMENT:	1951		
BUDGET:	\$91.5 million (1986)		
PERSONNEL:	1000 total lab (1986)		

History

The Savannah River Laboratory participated in the original design of production facilities at SRP and provided technical assistance during startup operations. Following the startup phase of the production reactors in the 1950s, SRL developed technology that served as the basis for a steady increase in the productivity. Subsequently, attention was turned to developing the techniques to obtain various new products and byproducts, such as U-233, Co-60, Pu-238, Cm-244, and Cf-252. For some products, such as Pu-238 and Cf-252, further development was undertaken to adapt them to various end uses. During the period 1958 to 1964, a laboratory program developed technology for a D₂O-cooled power reactor operating on natural uranium.³

Nuclear Weapons Activities

Currently, SRL activities are divided about equally between two DOE lead laboratory mission assignments: (1)Process Development, and Special Nuclear Materials, and (2)High Level Waste and support for energy programs within DOE and other agencies.

Process Development activities include (1)studies of processes for separation of tritium and helium, and R&D and testing of the performance of components for highpressure hydrogen service (tritium reservoirs); (2) reactor upgrade and safety programs; (3) new fuel and target design and development; (4) waste heat utilization; (5) improved technologies for the SRP chemical separations areas; and (6) environmental studies. SRL also has supported Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory in research in plutonium laser isotope separation.

Nonweapons Activities

The Savannah River Laboratory has developed a number of fields of expertise that are applicable to other DOE programs.

Major areas of emphasis include quality assessment of reactor components, improved reactor safety, confinement of waterborne activity, control of noble gas emissions, second-generation reactor design, and reduction of waste volume from chemical separations facilities.²

The experience of SRL extends from the origination of conceptual processes through process development, design support of new production facilities, support of manufacturing operations, environmental transport and impact analyses, and trace element determinations.

BUDGET ³ (\$ million):	FY	Total Lab Funding
	1984	86.0
	1985	88.2
	1986	91.5

ASSETS Laboratory and Office Space FY 1981: 370,000 square feet.⁴ Capital Investment and equipment, \$98 million (FY 1980).

PERSONNEL (FTE):

FY	Total Lab Direct ⁵	Related (ASDP) Direct
1975	876	
1976	889	
1977	923	
1978	971	
1979	966	
1980	9606	446(46%)
1981	1042	476(46%)
1982	1167	522(45%)
1983		2.000
1984		
1985		
1986	1000	

D-fames

 Ibid.
 Letter J.T. Lower to R.L. Murgaes, Manager, Savarnach River Operations Office, 14 December 1994.

¹ Sevennik River Laboratory Institutional Plan, FY 1981-FY 1986, Draft, July 1680, pp. 7-8.

⁴ Capsule Review of the OOR Research and Development and Field Facilities, U.S. DOR.

DOS/ER-0032. September 1980, p. 18. 5 Data theoryb. 1979 from DUE Staffing Table. Language 1981.

Data through 1079 from DOE Staffing Table, January 1981.
 FY 1981-FY 1986 Institutional Plan. op. cit., Appendix B.



Figure 58 Aerial View of L-Reactor

ADDRESS:	See Savannah River Plant
LOCATION:	Five Savannah River production reactors located in 100C, K, L, P, and R site areas at Savannah River Plant (See Figure 52).
ESTABLISHMENT:	Design began 1950; operation ini- tiated late 1953
MISSION:	Production of plutonium and triti- um for nuclear weapons and small quantities of other radioisotopes (e.g., Pu-238, U-233, Co-60, Am- 241, Cm-242 and Cf-242), primari- ly for non-military purposes
History	Savanaah Biyor Blant maatan aya

tems began in August 1950, and fabrication of the first reactor by New York Shipbuilding Company began in September 1951. This reactor prototype was used to test the hydraulic and mechanical systems at the vendor's shop and was later modified as the K-Reactor. The five reactors (R, P, L, K, C) were fabricated between September 1951 and May 1954 and were turned over to Savannah River Operations between April 1953 and September 1954. The first reactor placed in operation was R, which started up in December 1953. The last reactor, C, was started up in March 1955.¹ Startup and shutdown dates for each reactor are given in Volume II, Table 3.1.

Reactor Characteristics

Each reactor is housed in a concrete building containing four major process areas: Assembly Area, Reactor Area, Disassembly Area, and Purification Area (see Figure 58). The Reactor Area, in the central portion of the building, houses the reactor room above ground, the

Etitieseled Service Labord Sevannah River Plant Naactors, DPST-60-539, October 1980, p. 7.



Figure 59 Schematic Cross-Section of Reactor Process Areas (P.L.K.C Reactors)

reactor tank extending below ground from the floor of the reactor room, the heat exchangers, reactor control rooms, and other facilities (see Figure 59,60). Control system actuators (control and safety rod guide tubes and latches) occupy the reactor room during normal operation, and the actuator room above is occupied by drive systems for the control rods and safety rods. During reloading shutdowns, the fuel charge and discharge machines are moved into the reactor rooms and the actuator system is retracted. The reactor control room is at the + 15-foot elevation. The reactor room, pump room and heat exchanger bays are inaccessible during reactor operation. They may be viewed through observation windows and periscopes, and closed circuit television monitors are being tested.²

The five reactors are approximately the same size. Each is equipped with an emergency core cooling system but has no secondary containment building. A threestage activated carbon filter system is employed to remove iodine and particulate radioactivity in the event of a catastrophic accident. However, long-lived noble gases are not contained. Each reactor tank has waterfilled top and bottom thermal neutron shields and is surrounded by a 20-inch water-filled thermal shield and a 5-

- John P. Church, Safety Analysis of Savanna's River Production Reactor Operations, DPST SA 199-1, Rev. 903 (Deleted Version) Revised: September 1983, p. 4-1.
- 3 Heavy water is more effective than graphite in slowing down neutrons and has a preallor absorption cross section. Thus, a heavy water reactor will have a higher neutron multiplication factor, a smaller size, and grapher peutpon flux than a graphite moderated reactor.

cation factor, a smaller size, and greater neutron flex than a graph/to moderated poweter.
4. E.K. Dukes and R.W. Bergiamin, Sevanash River Plant Airborne Emissions and Controls.

foot-thick concrete biological shield to protect operating personnel from radiation.

Heavy water (D₂O) is utilized as the neutron moderator as well as the recirculated primary coolant.³ Approximately 250 to 275 MT of D₂O is required per reactor.⁴ Water from the Savannah River or Par Pond (a cooling water impoundment) serves as the secondary coolant.⁵ A blanket of helium gas under pressure is maintained over the surface of the heavy water moderator in the reactor tank to increase the saturation temperature of the moderator and inhibit the formation of deuterium gas.

Primary coolant is circulated through each reactor by six parallel pumping systems. The heavy water enters the reactor tank through six inlet nozzles in the water plenum located over the top shield and the foot-thick gas plenum (part of the helium blanket gas system) (see Figure 61). Each fuel and target assembly is suspended from the top of the water plenum. D₂O enters the fuel assemblies through slots in the plenum tubes and slots and holes in the assembly sleeve housings, and it empties into the moderator before exiting through six outlet nozzles at the bottom of the tank at about 25.000 gallons per minute through each nozzle. The D₂O then passes through two parallel heat exchangers per closed loop (twelve exchangers per reactor) where heat is transferred to the secondary cooling water before D₂O recirculation.⁶

The range of operating characteristics experienced at Savannah River reactors is given in Table 8.

Reactor Tank and Lattice

The steel reactor vessels made of stainless steel plate are 15 feet high, and 16.25 feet (P,K,L) and 18.5 feet (C) in diameter⁷ with a wall thickness of 0.5 inch. The larger diameter of the C-Reactor tank provides space for additional D₂O moderator to act as a neutron reflector.⁸ The reactor cores contain positions for 600 (P,K,L) or 588 (C) principal fuel and target assemblies, a maximum of 4.25 inches in diameter. In addition, there are 162 to 178 secondary positions, one inch in diameter.⁹ which are occupied by safety rods, tie bolts connecting the water plenum to the top shield, instrument rods, and special components. The reactor lattice for the P, K, and L is shown in Figure 62.¹⁰ The bottom shield tubes contain monitor pins (600 in P, K, and L; 588 in C) to provide flow and temperature at each fuel position.

Neutron-absorbing control elements (septafoils), each with seven independent motor-driven computercontrolled rods, are in positions (61 in P,K, and L; 73 in C)¹¹ to control reactivity and the vertical and radial power distribution throughout the reactor. Lithium targets for isotope production are the standard absorber in SRP control rods. Disposable rods containing cad-

6 Smith, J.A., et al., "Safety Analysis of Savonnah River Production Reactor Operation," EL DuPost de Neurours & Ca. Savannah River Labonstury, DPSTSA-100-1 Rev. 12/76, p. IV-12.

Smith. op. tit., p. IV-10.

DPST-62-1054. Salamada River Laboratory, p. 4-4. 5 The P and R reactors are on a closed loop with the Par Pond. C, K, and L-Reactors discharge hot efficient into streams onsite that go to the Savannah River.

⁷ Mid. p. IV-8.

⁶ DPST SA-100-1. Rev. 12/01, p. 1-5.

⁹ Church, op. cit., p. 4-4.



Figure 60 SRP Reactor Structure

Bourds. John P. Church, Safety Analysis of Sevennah River Production Reactor Operations, OPSTEA 100-1, Rev. 5(8) (Deleted Vension), Sevennah River Laboratory, Revised September 1983, 0.4.2

mium have also been used to reduce heat generation. Past irradiation campaigns have produced high specific activity cobalt and thulium in control rod positions.12

The reactors are equipped with a set of gravity drop safety rods (66 in P. K. and L; 79 in C) normally held above the core by magnetic clutches. The rods are about one inch in diameter and normally cadmium.13 Safety rods are inserted during normal reactor shutdowns. A backup shutdown system is provided by injection of a solution of gadolinium nitrate (neutron poison) into the reactor moderator.14

Reactor Operation

Reactor control and process monitoring are carried out in the control room. Reactor power can be adjusted manually from the control console or by online computer. Under manual control, operators can move control rod gangs or individual control rods. Neutron flux, reactor period, reactor power, and axial power are monitored from the console. The D₂O temperature and flow for each principal fuel and target position are monitored in the control room. The monitor pins in the bottom shield provide information on pressure and temperature in individual assemblies. The process control and safety computer systems, each dual computer systems, provide information to operators on reactor parameters and can initiate reactor shutdown.15

Assembly Designs¹⁶

The core of a Savannah River reactor is composed of many individual assemblies each containing fissile material to drive the reactor and/or target materials for breeding. Replaceable core components, called driver assemblies (fuel) or target assemblies, are combined in various loading patterns to form a reactor charge.

¹² ibid. p. V-18

¹³ Ibid., p. IV-35, 14 Ibid., p. IV-35,

¹⁵ Environmental Information Document L Reactor Reactivation, DPST-01-241, Savannah River Laboratory, April 1982, pp. 3-14, 3-17, and 3-24. 16 ibid., p. V-1.



Figure 61 Schematic of reactor tank

Because the core design is frequently altered, new designs are considered to be a part of normal reactor operation.

A driver (or fuel) assembly is generally considered to be one for which insertion increases the charge reactivity and removal decreases the reactivity. A target assembly generally has the opposite effects. Fuel used in a driver can be either uranium (U-235 or U-233) or plutonium. Depleted uranium (U-238) target assemblies are used for weapons-grade plutonium production; enriched lithium targets for tritium. Reactivity is controlled by the ratio of the amount of target to the amount of fuel material. In charges with separate fuel and target assemblies, the amount of target may be reduced by leaving vacant lattice positions.

Some production campaigns require that the function of an assembly switch from target to driver or driver to target during irradiation. In some charge designs, for example, Pu-239 buildup and subsequent fission in the targets is essential to keep the reactor critical. However, the initial assembly is still generally considered to be a

Fuel Handling and Reactor Loading¹⁷

Fresh fuel is received and stored in the reactor assembly area where it is placed on racks and hangars in a configuration to prevent criticality. For driver assemblies, a neutron poison is added to the racks (e.g., borated concrete).

The reactor room is equipped with a charge machine, a discharge machine, and an irradiated component conveyor. Charge and discharge machines are used to load and unload fuel and target assemblies. Operations are conducted from the crane room, adjacent to the control room. The conveyer is located in an H₂O filled canal connecting the reactor room with the irradiated assembly storage basin. The conveyer receives irradiated assemblies from the discharge machine and passes them under the wall of the reactor room. Irradiated assemblies are stored vertically in the water-filled storage basin until the decay heat is low enough to permit shipment.

Driver¹⁸ and Target¹⁹ Assemblies

Cross-sectional views of current Savannah River cylindrical fuel (driver) assemblies are shown in Figure 64. The fissile portion can be either coextruded tubes (Mark 16B and Mark 22) or a column of slugs. Drivers containing natural or slightly enriched uranium (e.g., Mark 15) are generally fabricated with slugs.

Target designs are similar to those for driver assemblies and can be either extruded tubes or a column of slugs (see Figure 65). Cross-sections of some typical SRP designs for targets are shown in Figure 66 (see also Table 9). Over forty different types of fuel and target configurations have been used in SRP reactors. Table 10 describes target and fuel assemblies currently at Savannah River, and Table 11 describes other assemblies. Composition and burnup and dimensions of assemblies currently in use are given in Table 12. A chronology showing highlights in the use of fuel and target charges is given in Table 13.

Fuel and target assemblies are enclosed in outer and/ or inner aluminum housings that may contain fissile and/ or target material, provide discrete coolant channels, and are separately dischargeable. The Mark 16B driver is a combined assembly with an inner lithium target housing for reactivity control. Other combined assemblies alternate one or more fuel tubes or slug columns with one or more target tubes or slug columns (e.g., the Mark 22 assembly).

Reactor Charge Design²⁰

The type of core used for any production campaign is governed by many factors, including irradiation characteristics, product quality, scheduled demand, target availability, and economics.

20 fbid . pp V-13. 16.

target. An example of the driver to target switch was the Mark 40 plutonium-burning program developed to provide Pu-242 feed material for production of Cm-244 and Cf-252.

DFST-81-341. op. crf., pp. 3-17, 3-22, and 3-23.
 Bidd. p. V-5.8; Church, DFSTSA-100-1, Rev. 9/83, p. 4-16.

¹⁰ Smith op. cal., p. V-13.



Savannah River Production Reactors

Source: John P. Church, et al., DPST8A-100-1, Rev. 9/83 (Deleted Version), Severneh River Laboratory, Revised September 1983, p. 4-7.

Figure 52 Lattice Arrangement for P. K. and L Reactors



Figure 63 Fuel Loading Operations at Savannah River Production Reactor

Three basic charge designs are utilized at SRP:

Uniform Core - Usually up to 600 assemblies (588 in C) of the same type. Each assembly could contain both fissile and target material, e.g., the Mark 22 charge for tritium production.

Mixed-Lattice Core - Contains a large number of driver and target assemblies intermixed in a ratio of 3:3, 4:2, or 5:1 arranged with six assemblies surrounding each control rod position. (If only a small number of target assemblies are present, the charge is considered to be essentially a uniform core.) The Mark 16B-Mark 31 charge for plutonium production is arranged in a 3:3 mixed lattice core.

Small Core - Reduced portion of the reactor tank (both radially and axially with height of active core typically about equal to diameter) is used to attain a high neutron flux and high power density. Typically, all D2O coolant flow would be directed to 100 to 400 lattice positions, occupied by either an essentially uniform core or a mixed-lattice core. As of 1981, three small core campaigns had been run, two in 1967 and a Californium-252 production campaign in 1969.21

Parameters for typical SRP reactor charges are summarized in Table 14.

Reactor Charges for Plutonium and Tritium

SRP charges are designed to produce tritium, plutonium-239, and other radionuclides. Initial criticality in 1953 (R-Reactor) used a natural uranium charge for production of plutonium (Table 13). Mixed cores containing assemblies with a variety of uranium enrichments have been tried. Since 1968 SR reactors have used only mixed cores of depleted uranium targets²² and high-burnup, highly enriched drivers in plutonium production campaigns (Mark 14-30, Mark 16-30, Mark 16B-31; see Tables 9 and 11).

Currently, plutonium production in P.K. and L-Reactors is in a mixed 3:3 core of equal numbers of Mark 16B drivers and Mark 31A (or B) targets. A few special target assemblies can be accommodated in either type of charge and vacant positions may be present for reactivity adjustment.23

The practice now at Savannah River is to operate the unreflected reactors (P.K.L) with heavy lithium blanketed charges to reduce neutron irradiation of the tank

DFSTSA-100-1, Rev. 12/81, p. 4-35,
 E.K. Dukes and R.W. Benjamin, Savanach River Plant Airborne Emissions and Controls. DPST-82-1054. Savannah River Laboratory, p. 5-2.

²³ Church, DPSTSA-100-1, Rov. 9/03, p. 416.



Figure 64 Current Driver Designs

Source: John P. Church, et al., DPSTSA-100-1, Rev. 9483, p. 4-17,

27 DPSTSA-100-1, Rev. 9/83, p. 4-32.

walls.24 This slows metallurgical changes in the steel and inhibits production of radioactive Argon-41 in the airfilled reactor annular cavities.25

Tritium production (currently, in the C-Reactor) is achieved with Mark 22 fuel assemblies (since 1972, see Table 9) in a uniform core. Some tritium is also produced in lithium control rods, in Mark 60B blanket assemblies, and in the inner lithium-aluminum targets of Mark 16B assemblies during production of plutonium and other isotopes.26 The Mark 22 charge when used in the P. K. or L-Reactors contains a ring of vacant positions between the Mark 22 core and the outer ring of blanket assemblies to improve the economy of operation.27

Nuclear Materials Production Cycles

The reactors are designed for batch refueling. Reloading shutdowns are scheduled as required by demands of production programs. They can involve replacement of up to all of the target assemblies and up to all of the driver assemblies, as well as control rods, and a new charge may use outer housings from the previous cycle. Up to several thousand components must be handled and placed in the correct position. Irradiated components are removed by the discharge machine and unirradiated components inserted by the charge machine through the water plenum at the top. The reloading operation may be manual or in automatic sequence controlled by a prepunched tape. To maintain the reactor maximum subcritical, components are replaced in specified order, control rods first, followed by targets and their drivers.28

Mark 16B-31 Charge, Plutonium Production. Mark 31A depleted uranium targets and lighter Mark 31B targets are staged to obtain maximum burnup of Mark 16B highly enriched drivers. Production of weapongrade (6 percent Pu-240) plutonium requires target irradiation subcycles of approximately sixty days duration at a nominal power of 2150 Mw1. Production of super-grade (3 percent Pu-240) plutonium requires about a thirty-day target irradiation. During current super-grade production operation, five sets of targets are discharged for each fuel cycle; in weapon-grade production three sets are discharged per fuel cycle.29Subcycle length depends on the product and the reactor power limit, which varies by reactor and season according to the cooling water temperature and other factors.30 Currently (since 1983) supergrade plutonium production is in the P.K. and L. reactors.31

Mark 22 Charge, Tritium Production. Mark 22 assemblies combining Li-Al target tubes with U-235-Al fuel tubes are irradiated in a uniform lattice for approximately 200 days per tritium production cycle at a nominal power of 2400 Mw₁. The irradiation exposure of lithium target elements is limited to prevent dimensional instability, blistering, creep collapse of the cladding, and

- 30
- HAC, FY 1965 EWDA, Part 4, p. 423.

^{24.} D.A. Ward, et al., Extended Service Life of Savarnah River Plant Reactors, DPST-80-539. Savarnah River Laboratory, October 1980, p. 8.

Control Technology for Radinactive Emissions to the Atmosphere at U.S. Bepertment of 25 Energy Facilities, PNL-4621, Druft, Pacific Northwest Laboraturies, March 1983, p. 19-14. 26 Church, op. cit.

²⁸ Environmental Information Document L Reactor Activation. DPST-61-241, Savannah Elver Laboratory, April 1982, p. 3-18.

E.K. Dukes and R.W. Bergamin. Savannah River Flant Airborne Emissions and Controls. DPST-82-1054, Savannah River Laboratory, p. 4-3, DPSTSA-100-1, Rev. 9/83, p. 4-11.



Figure 65 Depleted Unanium Targets

Metallic unanium cores (black) are clad in aluminum cans to produce targets (silver).

loss of tritium. These effects may occur when the ratio of tritium to lithium atoms is greater than unity.³² The C Reactor (since the early 1980s) is dedicated to tritium production with the Mark 22 charge.³³

Mark 22S-25 Change, Mark 15 Charge, Plutonium Production. The Mark 15 program was terminated by DOE in FY 1984 after funding was withheld by Congress due to enrichment costs.³⁴ Operation with a uniform lattice slightly enriched (1.1 percent U-235) Mark 15 core would have produced "at least 25 percent" more plutonium than the Mark 16B-31 mixed lattice.³⁵ (Similar, although less efficient, uniform lattices have been used in earlier SRP reactor operation³⁶ prior to 1968.³⁷] The Mark 15 charge is the heaviest designed to date, weighing 107 tons (P,K,L-Reactors) or 125 tons (C-Reactor).³⁸

Operation with Mark 15 cores was to start in August 1986, and full conversion of three reactors (P,K,L) was planned by late FY 1987.³⁹ A full charge demonstration in the K-Reactor, to verify design and operability, occurred in August and September of 1983.40

To replace the Mark 15, DOE is pursuing a fallback option, the Mark 22S-25 core. This core is built of highly enriched drivers (Mark 22S) and natural uranium targets (Mark 25). The Mark 22S-25 core will give about half the plutonium productivity increase of the Mark 15 (10 to 12 percent) over the current Mark 16-31 core. A test charge will be fabricated in FY 1986 and irradiated in FY 1987.⁴¹

Reactivity Variation in Charges

Reactivity variation with exposure for SRP fuel charges is shown in Figure 67. The reactivity (percent by which the multiplication factor k differs from criticality, k = 1) is regulated by neutron absorbing control rods. For the Mark 16B-31 charge the variation (shown for sixtyday weapon-grade plutonium production subcycles) illustrates that the reactivity can increase during a subcycle as a fissionable isotope (Pu-239) is formed. An increase also occurs when the target depletes faster than the fuel. The irradiation cycle for the Mark 15 charge is shown to be thirty days, corresponding to the production of supergrade (3 percent Pu-240) plutonium.⁴²

- 38 Church, DPSTSA-100-1, Rev. 9/83, p. 4-11.
- 19 ILAC, FY 1985 EWDA, Part 4, p. 427.
- Draft HES, op. edt., DOC Saverment Niver Operations Office, Memorizanduro-to-Fale, 05800, 4 April 1993.
- 41 HAC, FY 1086 EWUA, Part 4, 40, 342, 384.

104 Nuclear Weapons Databook, Volume III

42 Church DPSTSA-100-1 Rev. 9/83, p. 4-24.

³² Church, DPSTSA-100-1, Rev. 9/03, p. 4-47.

³³ HAC, FY 1985 EWDA, Part 4, p. 423, 34 HAC, FY 1986 EWDA, Part 4, p. 352

³⁵ Mape Accomplishments at the Savannah River Plant Since January 1981, DOE, p. 1.

Draft EB, op. eff.
 DOE Sammah River Operations Office. Memorandum-to-File, 00430. 4 April 1983



Figure 66 Current Target Designs

Source: John P. Church, et et., DPSTSA-100-1, Rev. 5/63, p. 4-18.

Range of Operatin Savannah	ng Characteristics Experienced by River Reactors (R,P,L,K,C)
hermal Neutron Flux (full power):	5 x 1013 to 7 x 1015 n/cm2-sec
leactor Power (full power):	650 to 2915 MW
Assembly Power:	up to 21 MW
Prompt Coefficient:	+2 x 10-5 to -15 x 10-5 kmC*
Addenator Coefficient:	-1 x 10 ⁻⁵ to -35 x 10 ⁻⁵ k/°C
Reactivity in Control Rods:	Up to 30% k at cycle beginning to 0.5% k at cycle and
leactivity in Xenon After Shutdown:	Up to 80% k
rradiation Cycle Length	4 to 400 days
uel Heat Flux	Up to 2,900,000 Btu/hr-ft ²
Intal D ₂ O Flow Rate:	90,000 to 183,500 gpm ^b
020 Flow per Assembly	Up to 1050 gpm
Assembly Coolant Velocity:	Up to 72 ft/sec
J-235 Looding	Up to 1650 kg per charge; up to 4 kg per assembly

a Dverafi temperature coefficient (prompt plue moderator) is always regative.

b Gallons per minute.

Source: Smith, J.A., et al., "Safety Analysis of Bavannah River Production Reactor Operation," E.I. duPort de Nemours & Co. Sevenneh River Laboratory, DPSTSA-10X1-1 Rev. 12/76, p. V-26. This table includes variables associated with production programs only. The large number of experimental fuel and target essemblies are not included.



Figure 67 Typical Reactivity Variation with Exposure Source: Church DPSTSA-100-1, Rev. 5/83, p. 4-25.

	Table 9
	Current Savannah River Fuel and Target Assemblies
Mark 15	Two concentric columns of short tubular fuel slugs of slightly enriched uranium (1.1 weight percent U-235 with an inner aluminum housing. Fuel is separately dischargeable from an aluminum universal sleeve housing
Mark 168	Three concentric fuel tubes (12.5 foot core) of U-235-Al alky (3360 grams for blankated charges, 3200 grams for reflected charges) in an aluminum universal sleeve-housing. A separately dischargeable Li-Al tar get is contained inside the inner fuel tube. Same as Mark 16 except for higher U-235 content and a slightly different distribution of fuel among tubes.
Mark 22	Two concentric fuel tubes (12.5 foot core) of U-235-Al alloy (3200 grams U-235) resting on a separately dischargeable bottom fitting in an aluminum universal sleeve-housing. Contains two Li-Al target tubes exter nal and internal to the fuel. The inner target is separately dischargeable.
Mark 31A	Two concentric columns of short tubular target slugs of depleted unanium (0.20 weight percent U-235) in an aluminum universal sleeve-housing.
Mark 318	Single column of depieted uranium slugs, identical to outer slugs used in the Mark 31A.
Mark 42	Same mechanical design as Mark 16B except fuel tubes contain PuO ₂₇ Al instead of U-Al. Targets for high Pu 242 production.
Mark 53A, 53B	Single column consisting of coextruded NpO ₂ aluminum inner housing that are dischargeable as a unit from a universal sleave housing. Targets to produce Pu-238.
Mark 608	Convection-cooled Li-Al alloy coextrusion with Al cladding (12.5 foot core). Used as blanket assembly to reduce thermal shield heat load, to reduce neutron damage to the reactor tank wall, to make tritium, and to restrict the formation of Ar-41 outside the reactor.
Mark 62	LI-Al target tube that is separately dischargeable from an aluminum universal sleeve-housing. Used to pro duce tritium.

Dimensions linche	a)•	Mark 31A	Mark 31B
Outer Housing	00	4.110	4.110
	ID	4.010	4.010
Outer Target	DD	3.700	3.700
	D	2.590	2.590
Inner Target	00	2.200	
	ID	1.250	
Inner Housing	00	1.000	2.250
D	ID .	0.600	2.130
Cladding Thickness		0.030	0.030

-			-
		Table 11	
		Other Savannah River Fuel and Target Assemblies	
	Mark V-8*	Two concentric columns of fuel slugs of netural unanium faluminum outer housing; no inner housing).	
	Mark V-E*	Two concentric columns of fuel slugs of slightly enriched (0.9 weight percent) unanium (aluminum outer and inner housing tubes).	
	Mark V-R*	Two concentric columns of short tubular fuel slugs of slightly enriched uranium (0.86 weight percent U-235) with aluminum semip ermanent outer housing and separately dischargeable fuel and inner housing.	
	Mark VI*	Tubular fuel element of U-235-AI alloy, with an internal column of solid Li-AI target slugs.	
	Mark VI-8*	Two concentric tubular fuel elements of U-235-Al alloy with two Li-Al target tubes, one internal and one external.	
	Mark VI-E*	Two concentric fuel tubes of U-235-Al alloy with three Li-Al target tubes, two internal and one external. The innarmost target tube is separately dischargeable.	
	Mark VI-J*	Tubular fuel element of U-235-Al alloy with an internal column of hollow-core Li-Al target slugs.	
	Mark VII*	Quatrefoil loaded with hollow slugs of natural unanium.	
	Mark VII-A*	Similar to Mark VII except that the hollow slugs and quatrefoil are larger to permit operation at higher coolent flows.	
	Mark XII*	Three concentric fuel tubes (10-foot core) of U-235-Al alloy and an aluminum inner housing that are sepa- rately dischargeable from an aluminum semipermanent sleeve housing (driver for Curium-II charges).	
	Mark XII-A*	Three concentric fuel tubes (12.5-foot core) of U-235-Al elloy. This essembly is similar to Mark XII except for its core length and higher enrichment (driver for U-235 program, with Mark 50A and 50B).	
	Mark 14 **	Three concentric fuel tubes (12.5 foot core) of U-235-Al Alloy (1600 grams U-235) and an inner housing that are separately dischargeable from an aluminum universal sleeve housing. Mixed-lattice charge in four subcycles, with progressively lighter targets (Merk 30A, B, C, D) produces Pu-239, U-236, and tritium.	
	Mark 16 **	Three concentric fuel tubes (12.5 foot core) of U-235-Al alloy (about 3000 grams U-235) resting on a separately dischargeable bottom fitting in an aluminum universal sleeve housing. A separately dischargeable Li-Al target is contained inside the inner fuel tube. Mixed-lattice core with up to six cycles using Mark 30 targets produces Pu-239, U-236, and tritium.	
	Mark 16A **	Same as Mark 16 except the outer and middle fuel tubes have thinner claddings and thicker cores. Used as a heavyweight driver in enriched-dapleted programs.	
	Mark 18 *	Three concentric fuel tubes with 6-foot-long cores of U-235-Al alloy and an aluminum inner housing that are dischargeable as a unit from an aluminum semipermanent sleeve housing. Used as driver for Californium-I program.	
	Mark 18A *	Three concentric fuel tubes of U-235-Al alloy and an aluminum inner housing that are dischargeable as a unit from a semipermanent sleeve housing that contains a Pu-242 target. Used as driver for Californium-I pro- gram; the Pu target is to produce transplutonium isotopes. Target housings may be irradiated separately.	
	Mark 30A **	Two concentric columns of short tubular target slugs of depleted uranium (0.14 weight percent U-235) with aluminum universal sleave housing and separately dischargeable targets and inner housing.	
	Mark 308,300,30D **	Single column of short tubular target slugs of depleted uranium (D.14 weight percent U-235) with aluminum universal sleeve-housing and separately dischargeable targets and inner housing. Used with Mark 14 or Mark 16 drivers. Currently used Mark 31A,B are the same as Mark 30 except for 0.20 weight percant U-235.	

		-
	Table 11 (cont.)	
	Other Savannah River Fuel and Target Assemblies	
Mark 40 *	Three concentric fuel tubes with 12.5-foot-long cores of Pu-Al alloy in an aluminum universal sleeve housing with a disposable inner housing. A separately dischargaable target can be used instead of the inner housing. Used to produce Pu-242 feed material for the Cf-252 program.	
Mark 41	Two coextrusion-cled coaxial target tubes. The 10-foot core of the outer tube contains PuO2-AI to produce Pu-242 of approximately 98 isotope percent. The outer tube and an eluminum besket are retained in a univer- sal sleeve-housing with a retaining ring. The inner tube with a 12-foot core of Li-AI alloy is separately dis- charged from the basket and replaced with an inner tube having a different Li-6 content to maintain specified reactivity.	
Mark 50A *	A column of 15.2-inch-long tubuler target slugs of compacted theria and an aluminum inner housing that are dischargeable as a unit from an aluminum semi-permanent outer housing. Used for production of U-233.	
Mark 50B *	A column of 9.5-inch-long tubular target slugs of compacted thoria and an aluminum inner housing that are dischargoable as a unit from an aluminum semipermanent outer housing.	
Mark 51	Quatrefoil containing up to four columns of 8-inch-iong slugs, each of which contains a blend of Cm-Am oxide and aluminum. Used to produce CF-252 in Californium-I program. Small core in short cycle uses six Mark 51 targets and ninety Mark 18 drivers.	
Mark 52 •	Single column of elements consisting in part of two or three NpO2 and aluminum opextruded tubes. Either Li- Al targets or aluminum spacers are used above and below the NpO2 tubes. Used to produce Pu-238.	
Mark 53,53A,53B	Single column consisting of coextruded NpO2 - aluminum tube(s) with aluminum spacers and an aluminum inner housing that are dischargeable as a unit from a universal sleeve housing. Used to produce Pu-238, Mark 61 **A sleeve housing, the bottom half of which contains an 8-foot-long aluminum clad coextrusion of NpO2 and aluminum. The cladding, the coextrusion, and a bottom fitting are welded into the sleeve housing to form a single unit. Used to produce high-purity Pu-238 (less than 0.3 ppm Pu-236) by inrediation in the reflector region of the Californium-I charge.	
The state of the state		

* These assembles are now absolute but represent design varietions utilized or proposed in the pest.

Bource: Smith, J.A., et al., "Selety Analysis of Savannah River Production Reactor Operation," E.I. DuPort de Namours & Co. Savannah River Laboratory, DPSTSA-100-1 Rev. 12/76, pp. V-5.6.

	Fuel Composition :	Table 12 and Burpup for Cur	rent Assemblies	
	ruer composition (ing but hap for our	Cite Assemblies	
Per Assembly	Mark 16B	Mark 22	Mark 31A	Mark 31B
U-235 (kg)	3.360	3.200	0.671	0.456
U-238 (kg)	0.767	0.600	332.850	225.950
U-234 (kg)	0.087	0.100		
U-236 (kg)	1.680	0.394		
Power (Mw)	6.0	5.1	2.0-3.1*	2.0-3.1
Fission Exposure	1188.0	1005.0	126.0	106.0
Daws Irradiated	198	197	55	44
Davs Cooled	180	180	180	180

a The power increases in Mark 31 assemblies during a subcycle.

Source: D.H. Stockard and C.T. Randal, Sefety Analysis—200 Area, Savannah River Plant Separations Area Operations, DPSTSA-200-10, Savannah River Lakonasory, August 1980, p. V-12.

	Table 13
	Chronology: Fuel and Target Charges Used at SRP (excluding test irradiations)
1953	Initial criticality of first SRP reactor, solid material uranium slugs in quatrefoil to produce Pu-239 (Mark D.
1955	Mixed Lettice U-233-Pu-239 producer charge, four assemblies containing a mixture of thorium and U-235-Al slugs, and two assemblies containing natural uranium slugs in each cluster.
1955	First cobalit irradiations, over 30 megacuries of Co-60 subsequently produced.
1956	Hollow natural uranium slugs (Merk VID with forty-two U-235 fuel assemblies to produce Pu-239. Subsequently modified to Mark VII-A.
1956	Tritium producer charge, fuel assemblies containing a mixture of U-235-AI and Li-AI solid slugs, Li-AI blanket.
1957	Tritium producer change, each lattice position containing Li-Al slugs inside a U-235-Al fuel tube (Mark VI). Subsequently modified to Mark VI-J.
1957	Mixed-lattice U-233-Pu-239 producer charges. Hollow natural unanium slugs, thorium targets, and U-235-Al fuels.
1958	Charge containing 444 natural uranium fuel assemblies. 114 tubular U-235-Al fuels and forty-two depleted uranium assemblies (0, 14 percent U-235) for production of Pu-240.
1958	Irradiation of NpOg-237 for Pu-238 production started. Subsequent charges contained as many as fifty-four NpOg-237 assemblies in plutonium, tritium, and U-233-producer charges.
1959	Pu-239-Al fuel imadiated for production of transplutonium elements. Subsequent charges contained as many as 106 Pu 239-Al assemblies in both plutonium and tritium producer charges.
1962	Tritium producer charge, concentric U-235-Al and Li-Al tubes, two of each per assembly (Mark VI-B).
1962	Concentric natural uranium slugs for Pu-238 production, tubular U-235-Al fuels (Mark V-B).
1962	Mixed-lettice H-3/U-233 production, five tritium producers, and one thorium assembly per cluster, thorium blanket.
1963	Concentric slightly enriched uranium metal (0.95 percent U-235) slugs and Li-Al blanket for H3/Pu-239 production (Mark V-E). Subsequently modified to Mark V-R (0.86 percent U-235).
1964	Mixed lattice containing three Pu-239-AI and three U-235-AI assemblies per cluster. Curium-I campaign.
1985	High flux (6 x 10 ¹⁵ n/(cm ² sec)) operation.
1965	Mixed lattice H-3-U-233 production, four U235-Al fuels with Li-Al targets, and two ThO2 target assemblies in each contro cluster, LiAl blanket.
1965	Semipermanent housings used for Mark V-E.
1965	Mixed lattice containing 175 U-235-Al fuels, thirty-seven Pu-240-242 targets, and sixty-six bismuth blanket assemblies for Cm-244 and Po-210 production. Curium II campaign.
1966	Charge containing 258 natural unanium assemblies. 294 slightly enriched unanium metal assemblies, and forty-eight U-235 All fuels.
1968	Fuel-target assemblies containing two concentric U-235 fuel tubes and three Li-Al targets. The inner target is separately dischargeable (Mark VI-E).
1966	Fuel assemblies containing three concentric U235-Ai fuel tubes used as fuels in the mixed-lattice production of Cm-244 (Mark XII).

	Chronology: Fuel and Targe	t Charges Used at SRP
	ein energy, i wei und i di ge	to only goo obou at only
1967	Mixed lettice U-233 production; three fuels containing t target assemblies. Depleted uranium metal blanket.	three concentric U-235-Al fuel tubes (Mark XII-A), and three ThO;
1968	Universal sleeve housings used for Mark 14.	
1968	Mixed lattice Pu-239 production and high burnup of orall 14), and deplated uranium metal target assemblies (Ma	oy fuel; fuels containing three concentric U-235-Al fuel tubes (Mark ark 30). Targets were staged to increase fuel burnup.*
1968	Mixed lattice Pu-242 production initiated; three concern	tric Pu-239-240-Al tubes (Mark 40).
1969	Mixed lettice Pu-239 production and U-236 concentra (Mark 16) and depleted uranium metal target assemble	tion; fuels containing three concentric heavy U-235-Al fuel tubes as (Mark 30). Subsequently modified to Mark 168 and Mark 31.
1969	High flux (7 x 10 ¹⁵ n/(cm2 sec)) Cf-252 production (Cal long with Pu, Cm, and Am target material. First use of u with Al housing (Mark 18); fuel with target housing (Ma	ifornium-I campaign): three concentric U-235-AI fuel tubes six feet niversal sleeve-housing with integral target material (Pu-242). Fue ink 18AJ.
1970	Pu-238 production with low Pu-236 lless than 0.3 ppm	u.
1972	Tritium producer charge, concentric U-235-Al and Li-Al housing (Mark 22).	tubes (two of each per assembly). Compatible with universal sleave
1975	Mark 16-31 mixed lattice with 24 Mark 41 PuD2-Al as	semblies in Mark 31 target positions for concentrating Pu-242.
1979	Mark 168-31 mixed lattice with special target slugs rep production of special isotopes.	lecing Mark 16B Li-Al inner targets in six Mark 16B assemblies for
1980	Mark 168-31 mixed lattice with 56 Pu-239-240-AI Ma	rk 42 assemblies for producing high easey Pu-242 plutonium.
1985	3 percent Pu-240 production with Mark 168-31 chan production in Mark 42 assemblies: Pu-238 production in	ge: tritium production with Mark 22 charge (C-Reactor); Pu-242 n Mark 53. ^b
1986	Operation with Pu-239 producer charge, Mark 15 assemblies of concentric slightly enriched uranium metal (1.1 percent U- 235) slugs. Super-grade production in one reactor (late FY 1985). Compatible with universal sleeve housing. ^o	
1987	Test inradiation of Mark 225-25 mixed lattice for increased efficiency of 3 percent Pu-240 plutonium production.d	
Future	Mixed lattice charges with ${\rm U_3D_8}$ Al fuel assemblies driv	vers fabricated with powder metallurgy techniques.
The chorp numprod 236. The	e from natural unanium fuel to kigh burnup highly enriched drivens in plato- uction was for the purpose of increasing the production of U-235 and Np- Np-237 was required for the production of Pu-238; AEC, Report to Con-	 Projectase HALL FY 1985 EWDA, Part 4, p. 427. abit. p. 425.

	Nominal Operatin	g Parameters for T	ypical SRP Charg	es
	Uniform/T	Mixed-Lattice	Uniform/Pu-239	Small Core/High Flux
Principal Fuel	Mark 22	Mark 168	Mark 15º	3 tube - enriched Ub
Principal Target	Lithium Housings	Mark 31	-	Transuranic isotopes
Power, Mw Per Driver	6.0	7.4	4.7	8-21
Per Target		2.5-4.8		1.5
Total Reactor	2400	2150-23404	2410	735-1550
Uranium-235 Loading per fuel assembly, kg	3.2	3.2-3.36		D.17
Total charge loading, kg	1400	933-980		16.4
Enrichment, 9b	75	60	1.1	93
Burnup, %	40-50	35-50	8	40
Final Exposure,MwD	400,000- 500,000	300.000-400,000	75.000	5000
Thermal Neutron Flux, n/cm² sec)	1 x 1014	2 x 10 ¹⁴	1 x 1014	7 x 1015

a The Mark 15 program was canceled in 1984.

b Mark 18A drivers and Mark 51 targets (now obsolete) have been used; DPS7SA-102-1, Rev. 75, p. V-28.

Source: Drurch, DPSTEA-100-1, Rev. 9/83, pp. 4-23, 25.

c 2150 Mw. Smith. DPSTSA-100-1, Rev. 12/76, p. V-28, 2150 Mw. DPSTSA-100-1, Rev. 12/81, p. 4-44, 2350 M2, Church, DPSTSA-100-1, Rev. 9/83, p. 4-25, 2350 Mw is topical L-Reactor operating power with Mark 158-31 charge Draft, Environmental Impact Statement, L-Reactor Operation, Sevenneh River Plant, D06/615-01080, Vol. 1, September 1963, p. 2-14.

Savannah River Fuel and Target Fabrication Facilities



Figure 68 Preheated Billet Immediately Prior to Extrusion

ADDRESS: See Savannah River Plant

LOCATION: 300-M area at Savannah River Plant

MISSION: Fabrication of driver fuel and target elements to be irradiated in SRP production reactors. Major products are extruded fuel tubes of enriched uranium-aluminum alloy, extruded target tubes of lithium-aluminum alloy (for tritium production), and aluminum canned targets of depleted uranium metal (for plutonium production).

ESTABLISHMENT: Fuel fabrication operations at Marea begun 1952.¹ FACILITIES:

- Alloy Extrusion Plant (321-M)
- Uranium Metal Fabrication Plant (313-M)
- Target Extrusion Plant (320-M)
- Metallurgical Laboratory (322-M)
- Fuel Production Facility (to be completed in 1988)

Process Descriptions²

At the Fabrication Facilities materials including lithium, depleted uranium, enriched uranium, and aluminum go through various metal working operations such as casting, machining, plating, extrusion, and welding. Depleted and slightly enriched uranium target cores are received from the Feed Materials Production Center (FMPC) at Fernald, Ohio, for treatment and canning at the 313-M building. Highly enriched uranium for driver fuel is received—from the Y-12 Plant at Oak Ridge—at the 321-M building, where it is alloyed with aluminum. The HEU-Al billets are extruded into fuel tubes for use

Environmental Radiation Surveillance Report, July 1982-June 1983, Georgia Department of Natural Estimators, Environmental Protection Division, p. 57.

² ESDA. Savannah River Operations Office, "Integrated Radioactive Wane Management Flan, Savannah River Flant, Aiken, Soath Garolina," SBO TWM-76-1, Jane 1676, pp. V-1 to V-6; Dakes and Benjamin, DPST-82-1054, op. cit., p. 5-2.

Savannah River Fuel and Target Fabrication Facilities



Figure 69 Enriched Fuel Tube Leaving the Press

with targets of lithium or depleted uranium. Also, enriched lithium is received from the Y-12 Plant at the 320-M building, where it is alloyed with aluminum and extruded into Li-Al target tubes for tritium production.

Tubular fuel and target elements are manufactured in the 321-M building by coextrusion of a composite billet. In this process, the tube is formed and the core is simultaneously clad with aluminum. Cores consist of fuel or target material dispersed in an aluminum matrix. Most elements manufactured in the 321-M building contain enriched uranium cores, but some contain plutonium and neptunium cores that are fabricated in the separations areas. After extrusion, tubes are chemically cleaned to remove graphite and lead-oil lubricant. They are successively treated with hot perchloroethylene, caustic solution (sodium hydroxide), and nitric acid; intermediate and final water rinses are also used.

In the 313-M building, target and fuel elements for SRP reactors with natural (0.7 percent U-235), depleted (0.14-0.20 percent U-235), or slightly enriched (up to 1.1 percent U-235) uranium are bonded in aluminum cans by several techniques. Since 1968, only depleted uranium cores have been used in conjunction with high enriched drivers, with the exception of some experimental cores containing 1.1 percent U-235 processed in 1972.

The depleted uranium cores, after receipt from the Feed Materials Processing Center (FMPC), are cleaned at SRP with boiling perchloroethylene and hot nitric acid, anodically etched, and then electroplated to form a 0.3mil-thick nickel layer. The nickel assures a good bond with the aluminum can and protects the uranium from oxidation during heating. The nickel-plated core is



Figure 70 Uranium Fuel Cleaning Process

loaded into an aluminum can, capped, preheated to 540 C, and pressed through a die to size the can onto the core. The canned elements are then cleaned, welded, inspected, and tested.

Starting in 1988, SRP drivers are to be fabricated from uranium oxide (U3O8)-aluminum alloy instead of U-Al metal alloy. A new powder metallurgy (PM) process is to replace the melting, casting, and machining processes previously used to form fuel billets. PM will reduce fuel manufacturing costs and increase reactor productivity. In addition, conversion operations for recycle uranium are to be transferred from Y-12 to SRP, where oralloy from Y-12, recycle uranium oxide from ICPP, and recycle uranium nitrate are to be converted to U3O8.3

Production Activities

Over one-quarter-million slugs, housings, control rods, fuel tubes, and target tubes are produced annually by about 300 employees.4

Fuel and target assemblies fabricated to support plutonium and tritium production:

FY	Production (MT)
1983	20003
1984	32006

Activities in FY 1983 and FY 1984 included fabrication of Mark 16B driver assemblies and Mark 31A target assemblies for super-grade plutonium production. and fabrication of Mark 22 assemblies for tritium production. A Mark 22-25S test change was fabricated in FY 1986.7

8 HAC, PY 1986 EWOA, Put 4, pp. 477-79; HAC, PY 1985 EWDA, Part 4, p. 427.

HAG, PY 1987 EWDA, Put 4, p. 409.

HAC, FY 1983 EWDA, Part 4, p. 254.

6 HAC. FY 1984 EWDA, Part 4, p. 303.

9 HAC, FY 1985 EWDA, Part 4, p. 422.

Savannah River Fuel and Target Fabrication Facilities

Facilities [®] Fuel fabrication and support activities are conducted in four 300 area facilities:	 Target Extrusion Plant (320-M) Extrusion of lithium-aluminum tubes for tritium production
 Alloy Extrusion Plant (321-M) Casting furnaces for preparation of HEU-aluminum billets Coextrusion of composite billets to form aluminum clad cores 	Metallurgical Laboratory (322-M) Testing of materials fabricated in 300 area Examination of materials irradiated in SR reactors Fuel Production Facility (to be completed in 1988) Conversion of enriched wranium to wranium
 Uranium Metal Fabrication Plant (313-M) Fabrication of aluminum-canned natural, depleted, and slightly enriched uranium metal target and fuel elements for SR reactors 	 oxide (U₃O₈) Production of U₃O₈-aluminum billets using powder metallurgy process for extrusion into driver fuel tubes, replacing processes for U-A1 metal fuel.

8 Dukes and Benjamin, DPST-82-1054, p. 5-2.



Figure 71 F-Area SRP

 ADDRESS:
 See Savannah River Plant

 LOCATION:
 Fuel processing and other chemical separation facilities in 200 area at Savannah River Plant, occupying about 8 square kilometers near center of plant site

 MISSION:
 Processing of irradiated SRP production reactor (1) driver fuel ele

duction reactor (1) driver fuel elements (in the 200-H area) for U-235 recovery; (2) natural or depleted uranium target elements (in the 200-F area) for plutonium recovery; and (3) enriched lithium target elements (in the 232, 234, and 238-H areas) for tritium recovery

ESTABLISHMENT:

FACILITIES:

- F-area and H-area chemical separation facilities became operational November 1954 and July 1955, respectively²
- 200-F Area Chemical Plant (including canyons)
- 200-H Area Chemical Plant (including canyons)

Facilities (200-AREA OPERATIONS)²

Two large chemical plants (one in the 200-F area and one in the 200-H area) process reactor irradiated uranium. The F area plant recovers and separates Pu-239, Np-237, and U-238 from irradiated natural or depleted uranium targets. The H area plant recovers uranium isotopes, Np-237, and Pu-238 from irradiated enriched uranium fuel assemblies and converts the isotopes into a desired form for shipment.

 Environmental Reduction Surveillance Report, July 1982-June 1983, Georgia Department of National Resonances. Environamental Protoction Division, pp. 37-58.

B.H. Stoddard and C.T. Randall, Safety Analysis—200 Atea Savannab River Plant Separation Area Operations (DPSTSA-200-10, Savannab River Laboratory, August 1980), pp. III-2 - 10-4.



Figure 72 H-Area SRP

Each chemical process facility, F and H plants, has two parallel "canyons" running south to north that constitute the process areas (see Figure 73): the heavily shielded hot canyon for processing high activity materials and the worm canyon for lower activity material. The canyons are 15 feet wide at the bottom and 30 feet wide at the top and are separated by four levels. The center section is largely a service and process auxiliary area and is also divided into four levels (see Figure 74).

The canyons contain equipment for the remote handling of radioactive materials. Significant pieces of process equipment rest on the floor.

After irradiation in the SR production reactors, spent fuel and targets are sent to the F and H area separation areas. There is an approximately six-month cooling period between fuel element discharge from reactor operations output and fuel reprocessing.

The relation between the various activities and processes is illustrated in the material flow diagram (Figure 75). The key operations involving the chemical separation areas facilities are summarized below. Chemical Separations Facilities: Building 221-F and H Canyon Operations: Reactor irradiated materials are dissolved, then chemically treated to separate the various products. Major products and byproducts include isotopes of plutonium, uranium, and neptunium. High-level liquid wastes are evaporated and piped into storage tanks.

Receiving Basin for Offsite Fuel (RBOF) Operations. This operation includes the receiving and unloading of transport casks which contain reactor irradiated noncommercial fuel. These fuels are stored and in some instances are reloaded into casks for transport to the chemical separations facilities.

Transportation.Radioactive materials are transported between the facilities of the 200 Area.

Burial Ground Facility, Building 643-G Operations. Solid radioactive waste originating at the SRP site is stored at the burial ground (195 acres) in above- and below-ground locations. Disposal of solid radioactive waste at the burial ground began in early 1953.³



Figure 73 Separations Building Cross-Section

Sourte: D.H. Stoddard and C.T. Randal, Safety Analysis—200 Area Savanrah River Plant Separation Area Operations (DPSTSA-200-10, Savannah River Laboratory, August 1980), p. III-21.

A-Line Operations. This F area facility converts recovered U-238 nitrate solutions into uranium trioxide powder. In H area, a uranium solution trailer-loading station is sometimes referred to as H area A-Line.

Seepage and Retention Basins. Liquid waste streams containing very low levels of radioactivity are disposed of through seepage basins where the liquid migrates through the soil, or evaporates. Process cooling water or storm drainage that may be contaminated are temporarily stored in retention basins until analyses can be obtained to determine disposition.

JB-Line Operations. This F area operation concentrates and purifies Pu-239 from the F area chemical separation facilities. Process operations include cation exchange, precipitation and filtering, drying and conversion, and reduction and finishing steps. Metal plutonium buttons are shipped from the facility. Recovery operations are also performed.

HB-Line Operations. Pu-238 and Np-237 from both chemical separations facilities are converted from liquids to powdered oxide form in this H area operation. Recovery operations are also performed. Both plutonium and neptunium are shipped to other plant facilities, although the Pu-238 may also be shipped off-plant.

Uranyl Nitrate to Oxide Conversion. Currently HEU recovered in H Canyon is shipped as liquid uranyl nitrate to the Y-12 Plant at Oak Ridge for conversion to metal. DOE plans to build a conversion facility at SRP by late 1989 to replace the Y-12 facility, in use since 1959.^{4 5}

Process Descriptions

Casks containing material for processing are delivered by a plant-operated railroad to the hot canyon. In both the H and F chemical processing plants, the first step is to dissolve the fuel, a process that liberates volatile fission products and generates solutions with high concentrations of radioactivity. The initial separation yields solutions of plutonium, uranium, or neptunium product, and a high-activity liquid waste containing nonvolatile fission products. After the fission products are removed sufficiently from the product solutions, further processing can be done in unshielded areas, where the products (e.g., plutonium and uranium) may be converted from solution form to solids.

⁴ HAC, FY 1986 EWDA, Furt 4, pp. 477-82.

ERDA, Savaanah River Operations Offlor, "Integrated Fadioactive Sarte Management, Plan, Savaanah River Plant, Alken, South Camilina," SRO-7WM-76-1, June 1976, pp. V-21, to V-29.



Figure 74 Warm Cenyon Interior One of the Chemical Separations Facilities at SAP prior to startup.



Figure 75 Separations Processes in the 200 Area

Bounce: ERDA, "Visit by South Carolina and Georgia Environmental Broups, Savannah River Plant," Savannah River Operations Office, 24 March 1976.

Chemical Processing and Pu-239 Recovery in the F area

In the 221-F canyon building, the Purex solvent extraction process is used to recover Pu-239 from irradiated uranium. Special or subsidiary programs include processing of highly irradiated plutonium and recovery of neptunium. Neptunium oxide (NpO2) is used as a target material for Pu-238 production at SRP.

Fuel processing begins with dissolution of the fuel (see Figure 76). With the normal aluminum-clad, metallic uranium fuel, the aluminum cladding is dissolved in a sodium hydroxide-sodium nitrate solution, with evolution of ammonia and hydrogen. After the decladding solution with contained aluminum is removed, the declad fuel is dissolved in nitric acid.

In the first solvent extraction cycle, uranium and plutonium are extracted away from the remaining fission products with tributyl phosphate in a hydrocarbon dilutent and then separated from each other. The low enriched uranium (LEU) and plutonium are further purified by separate second solvent extraction cycles. (For discussion of PUREX, see Volume II, Chapter Five.)

The final LEU product solution (uranyl nitrate) is concentrated and sent outside the canyon for conversion





Bource: ERDA, Savannah River Operations Office, "Integrated Radiosetive Waste Management Plan, Savannah River Plant, Alkon, South Carolina," SRD-TWM-78-1, June 1976, p. V-25.

to uranium trioxide in the A-Line of the 221-F building. The oxide powder U_3O_8 is stored onsite. F area generates 2000 to 3000 drums of UO_3 powder per year. Currently, there are also over 20,000 drums of depleted uranium oxide in long-term storage.⁶

In the warm canyon of the 221-F building, neptunium and residual plutonium are recovered by ion exchange from the fission product waste solution from solvent extraction. Neptunium is further purified by ion exchange, and the final product solution is sent to the Harea neptunium line, the HB-Line. (The HB-Line converts neptunium-237 and plutonium-238 from both chemical separations areas to powder.) The plutonium is sent to a separate operation, the JB-Line, atop the 221-F canyon building where the plutonium is concentrated by ion exchange, precipitated as fluoride, and, with some further treatments, reduced to metal buttons.⁷ The metal buttons are shipped to Rocky Flats for manufacture into plutonium components of nuclear weapons.

An \$84 million Special Plutonium Recovery Facility for scrap recovery and blending operations is being constructed adjacent to the JB-Line atop the F separations building. It is scheduled for completion in FY 1987.



Figure 77 H-Area Separations Diagram

Source: ERDA, Savannah River Operations Office. Integrated Redipactive Waste Management Plan, Savannah River Plant, Alken. South Caroline," SRO-TWM-78-1, June 1976, p. V-26.

U-235 Recovery in the H area

In the 221-H building the HM-process (or "H modified" PUREX process) is used to separate uranium, neptunium, and plutonium from irradiated fuels containing from 1.1 percent to 94 percent uranium-235. Before 1959 a PUREX process was used. Since then H area has been devoted primarily to recover enriched uranium from SRP fuel. Currently, this is mainly highly enriched uranium (HEU). Enriched uranium is also recovered from fuel of domestic research reactors and from foreign reactors fueled with material produced or enriched by the United States.

The H area facility dissolves fuels with a variety of claddings. Aluminum clad fuels are dissolved directly in nitric acid; zirconium or stainless steel cladding is first removed in an electrolytic dissolver (see Figure 77), with nitric acid electrolyte, and then the core material is dissolved chemically in nitric acid.

The solvent extraction operations are similar to those of the PUREX system (see PUREX, Volume II, Chapter Five). Some chemical processes are different because of differences in the fuels. Uranium and neptunium are extracted from the fission products and sepa-

⁸ HAC, FY 1985 EWDA, Part 4, p. 445. Funding was requested in FY 1985 to construct a Unation Oxide Repackaging Facility (221-17) to expeckage some 5000 drams that are expially deteriorating.

⁷ Also, irradiated plutonium fuel and target assemblies may be protested in spatial progenus in the main solvent extraction system and is the plutonium finishing system.

rated from each other in the first cycle; then each is further purified by two separate cycles of solvent extraction. The highly enriched uranium product (HEU) solution (uranyl nitrate) is not concentrated further but is transferred out of the building, loaded into tank trucks, and sent to the Y-12 Plant at Oak Ridge for conversion into metal to be recycled as driver fuel for the SRP production reactors. Low enriched uranium (2 to 3 percent U-235), from processing fuel in government owned test reactors, is recovered as uranyl nitrate and shipped to the Feed Material Production Center (Fernald Plant) for conversion into UF₆ feed for the enrichment plants. The neptunium product solution is transferred to a separate area in the building for separate purification.

In a separate process system in the shielded H canyon, irradiated neptunium-237 targets are dissolved in nitric acid, and plutonium-238 and neptunium are separated from fission products and each other by a series of anion exchange resin columns. The product solutions of plutonium-238 and neptunium-237 are transferred to the finishing area, the HB-Line, where the two are concentrated, precipitated as oxalate, and calcined to oxides. The plutonium oxide is packaged for shipment offsite, and the neptunium oxide is sent to the metallurgical building (235-F) for refabrication into billets to be made into reactor target elements.

In addition to these main process efforts, various parts of the system have been used for special programs. Highly irradiated plutonium, for example, has been processed in both the solvent extraction and canyon anion exchange systems and finally discharged as oxide from the finishing area. A plutonium isotope mixture containing appreciable Pu-238 has been routinely recovered by solvent extraction from the fission product waste stream from enriched uranium processing. Irradiated thorium has been processed in the solvent extraction system to recover U-233 using the THOREX process.

Tritium Recovery

The Tritium Facility (buildings 232-H, 234-H, and 238-H) are a complex of buildings in which tritium is separated from irradiated lithium-aluminum targets, is further purified, and is packaged (see Figure 78). In the processing system, the lithium-aluminum targets are loaded into furnaces, and the gases are extracted under heat and vacuum. The furnace melts the aluminum housing and the matrix of the target to liberate the gaseous

Savannah River Chemical Separations Facilities



Figure 78 Tritium Facility, SRP

tritium. The impure gas contains tritium, helium-4 (which has been formed along with tritium in neutron capture by lithium-6), helium-3 from tritium decay, and hydrogen (H-1) and deuterium (H-2) from the hydrated aluminum oxide surface of the targets. Thermal diffusion columns are used to purify the tritium.⁶ The hydrogen isotopes are first separated from the helium isotopes, and then tritium is separated from ordinary hydrogen and deuterium.

Loaded tritium reservoirs are probably shipped directly to the Pantex Plant where weapon components are assembled into new nuclear weapons. Tritium decays radioactively, and the tritium reservoirs must be replaced periodically. Filled replacement reservoirs are shipped from SRP by air, truck, or other DOE approved transportation directly to Army Depots, Navy Installations, and Air Force Bases in 16-gallon metal drums.⁹ Some 325 to 365 personnel (1980-83) are employed at the Tritium Facility.¹⁰

Tritium is currently recovered from weapons components at the Mound Facility. The gas, which is contaminated with helium-3, is then purified at Savannah River before reuse. Reclaiming components from the stockpile to reduce requirements for new production began at Savannah River in 1969.¹¹

⁸ DOE requested funds in FY 1986 to replace the thermal diffusion columns with a newly developed thermal absorption process: IIASC, FY 1986 DOF, p. 277.

AEC-DNA, "Transportation of Nuclear Weapons Material," Technical Manual, TP 45-51, 30 April 1978, p. 305.

¹⁰ HAC, FY 1982 EWDA, Part 7, p. 254; HAC, FY 1983 EWDA, Part 6, p. 123

¹¹ ABC, Report to Cougenes, January 1970, p. 72.

Savannah River Heavy Water Plant

Savannah River Heavy Water Plant



Figure 79 Heavy Water Plant, The last of the three large heavy water separation units at SRP prior to shutdown.

ADDRESS: See Savannah River Plant LOCATION: Heavy Water Plant and beavy water recovery facilities located in 400-D area at Savannah River Plant.

MISSION: (D) for use in U.S. thermonuclear weapons (and the DOE fusion programs) and to provide heavy water (D₂O) for use as the moderator in the production reactors at the Savannah River Plant (and other DOE research reactors); plant on standby since 1982.

ESTABLISHMENT: Production operations started 1952

History

The Heavy Water Plant bagan production operations in October 1952. After a staged shutdown, it was placed on standby in 1982 and "probably will never be restarted."¹ The plant had been essentially the only source of deuterium and heavy water in the United States.² It and a similar one at Dana. Indiana (operated 1952-1957) provided the original supply of moderator/ coolant for the Savannah River reactors.

Process Description

Heavy water (occurring naturally in a concentration of about 0.015 percent) was separated from raw Savannah River water by a combination of hydrogen sulfidewater chemical exchange and water distillation (and, at an earlier time, by electrolysis).

The hydrogen sulfide extraction process was used to increase the D₂O concentration from its natural abundance (about 0.015 percent) to about 8 percent. The dis-

1 Dokes and Benjamin, DPST-82-1864, p. 6-1.

² D.R. Johnson, SRP Process Description for L Reactor Rortart, DPST-63-635, Savannah River Laboratory, 28 June 1983, p. 3.



Figure 80 Aerial View of Partially Dismantled Heavy Watar Plant at SRP

tillation process was then used to reach reactor grade D₂O (greater than 99.75 percent) and to reconcentrate heavy water that had picked up light water during use.

Batch electrolysis was formerly used at the SRP heavy water plant to concentrate deuterium from 90 to 99.87 percent, but this final concentration step was not needed when the plant was operated at reduced capacity, as had been the case for the last several years before shutdown.³ (For additional details, see Heavy Water Production, Volume II, Chapter Five.)

Facilities

Heavy Water Plant. The Savannah River heavy water plant was constructed as three large units, each with eight separation units. Hydrogen sulfide gas was charged to the first unit in October 1952, and specification grade product (99.75 percent D₂O) was produced in 1953.⁴

The plant was producing 450 MT/yr within five years after startup,⁵ and an overall plant capacity of 480 MT/yr, or about 160 MT/yr for each of the larger units, was eventually achieved.

One of the larger units was shut down in January 1957, reducing the plant capacity by about one third. In January 1958 the capacity was reduced by yet another half by shutdown of a second large unit. These two large units were dismantled prior to 1979.⁶ The one remaining large unit, with a capacity of about 177 MT, was operated at a reduced capacity of 65 to 69 MT/yr between 1977 and 1981. (see Figure 79)

In July 1980, to further reduce operating and maintenance expenses, DOE retired one half, or four, of the remaining separation units,⁷ leaving a maximum capacity of about 90 metric tons annually. Retirement of these units terminate DOE's option of having a sprint capacity of 180 metric tons annually "should a defense need arise."⁶

In May 1982 DOE announced that it had terminated production of heavy water at Savannah River.⁹ (see Figure 80)

³ Benedics, et al., op. cit., p. 742.

⁴ Danald W. Kubo, "DyO-HyO Separation," in Researce Handbook, Vol. 1 Materials, edited by C.R. Tipton, Jr. (Interscience Publ., N.Y., 2900), p. 31.

Letter born P.C. Gilbert, Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary for Nuclear Materials, DOE, to Thomas B. Cochran, 17 September 1981.

⁸ HASC FY 1980 DOR, p. 185

⁷ Letter Iwm F.C. Offsett, Acting Deputy Assistant Socretary for Nuclear Meterials, DOE, to Thomas E. Gochena, 17 September 1981.

⁸ HASC FY test DOE, p. 168

⁹ Nucleareics Wesk, 3 June 1992.

Savannah River Heavy Water Plant

Three-eighths capacity (65 MT/yr) was the minimum rate necessary to prevent corrosion and irreversible destruction of process equipment.¹⁰ Damage due to corrosive action was minimal for shutdown periods up to six weeks; once the plant was shut down for a six-month period. It could not be restarted due to self-destruction through corrosive action.¹¹

CHRONOLOGY:	Heavy Water Plant
1952	Startup of first unit
1953	First production of 99.75 percent D ₂ O
1953-56	Production increased to 450 MT/yr
Jan 1957	One unit shut down; capacity reduced to 320 MT/yr
Jan 1958	Second unit shut down; capacity reduced to 177 MT/yr
1958-76	Operation of one unit at capacity of 177 MT/yr
1977-early 1982	Operation at 65 to 69 MT/yr (three-eighths capacity)
1982	Operations terminated

Moderator Rework Unit¹² The Rework Unit, which remains in operation, consists of four distillation towers and associated equipment for removing ordinary water (H₂O) that has accumulated in the D₂O reactor coolant during reactor operation. The unit operates both a waste campaign and a product campaign. Feed for the waste campaign is highly degraded coolant containing 1.25 to 40 percent D₂O, and the product (which is stored as feed for the product campaign) contains about 50 percent D₂O. Feedstock for the product campaign is degraded coolant with a concentration of 40 to 90 percent D₂O. Production is withdrawn at a concentration above 99 percent for shipment to the reactors.

Driver Cleaning Facility (421-D).¹³ Fifty-gallon drums used for heavy water storage and transport are cleaned with either acid or alkaline phosphate solutions before reuse.

Analytical Laboratory (772-D).14 The quality control laboratory in the 400 area is a support facility serving both the heavy water area and the reactor areas.

For inventory, stockpile, and sales figures see Volume II, Tables 3.25 and 3.26.

16 HASC, PY 1988 DOE, pp 285-86.

11 Ibid., also at p. 71.

13 Dakes and Benjamin, DPST-82-1054, p. 6-1.

13 2014. 14 Ibid.

124 Nuclear Weapons Databook, Volume III

Tonopah Test Range

Tonopah T	est Range (TTR)	
ADDRESS:	Sandia National Laboratories P.O. Box 871 Tonopah, NV 89049 702/295-8234	History The Tonopah Test Range was established in 1957. At that time it came into limited use after similar facilities at Salton Sea Test Base. California, and at Yucca Flat on the
LOCATION:	Nye County, Nevada, 32 miles southeast of Tonopah, Nevada,	Nevada Test Site became inadequate. The TTR site was a bombing range during World War II.
	and 140 miles northwest of Las Vegas; approximately 369,230 acres (577 square miles; bordered on three sides by Nellis Air Force Base Bombing and Gunnery Range [see Figure 30]	Nuclear Weapons Activities Testing activities at TTR have included air drops of simulated bombs, gun firings of artillery shells, ground launched rockets (for ballistics or materials properties measurements), rocket launches from aircraft, static rocket tests (e.g., for the TRIDENT I), use of the range as an impact area for cruise missiles launched from ships
MISSION:	A test site of the Sandia National Laboratories for the ballistics of nuclear weapons, parachutes, and other non-nuclear functions, and	and submarines at sea, and explosive tests on shipping and storage containers for nuclear weapons. Some of the latter tests have involved plutonium and kilogram quan- tities of depleted uranium and beryllium.
	parameters associated with nucle- ar weapons	Non-nuclear Weapon Activities TTR is used for measurements of weapons ballistics.
MANAGEMENT:	Operated for DOE by Sandia Na- tional Laboratories (SNL) under management of Albuquerque Op- erations Office (Sandia Laborato- ries operated by Sandia Corpora-	rocket and gun firings, and various tests with conven- tional chemical explosives. In 1963 a series called the Roller Coaster tests were carried out to study the spread of plutonium from the nonnuclear detonation of nuclear weapons, contaminat-
	tion, subsidiary of Western Electric Company, which is sub- sidiary of AT&T); land is owned by Air Force	Assets (See Sandia National Laboratories)
ESTABLISHMENT:	1957	
BUDGET:	(See Sandia National Laborato- ries)	
 PERSONNEL:	- (See Sandia National Laborato- ries)	
FACILITIES:	Rocket launchers Mounts for gun barrels Static rocket test pad Drop targets Towers to support cameras and	
	Stations for telescopes and radars Explosive storage facilities	

 SRDA, Environmental Assessment, Tompah Test Range, 2nd printing, Soptember 1977; Tompab Test Range, Sandia Technology, October 1983.

Uranium Enrichment Enterprise



Figure 81 Aerial View of Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant

ADDRESS: Oak Ridge Operations Office P.O. Box E Oak Ridge, TN 37830 502/444-6301 (Paducah) 614/289-2533 (Portsmouth) 615/574-9200 (Oak Ridge)

LOCATION:

Facilities of Enrichment Enter-

- prise at following locations: Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant (GDP): Paducah, Kentucky
- Portsmouth GDP: Piketon, Ohio
- · Oak Ridge GDP: Oak Ridge, Tennessee (on standby since end FY 1985)
- Centrifuge Plant Demonstration Facility (CPDF) (R&D Pilot Plant): Oak Ridge, Tennessee (shut down end of FY 1984)
- Gas Centrifuge Enrichment Plant (GCEP): Portsmouth GDP at Piketon, Ohio (cancelled while under construction in FY 1985)

MISSION:

The DOE uranium enrichment complex was constructed originally to produce highly enriched uranium for nuclear weapons. It is now used primarily to provide low enriched uranium (up to 5 percent U-235) for domestic and foreign nuclear power reactors and, to a lesser degree, to provide the highly enriched uranium used in nuclear propulsion (naval) reactors and some research and test reactors.

MANAGEMENT:

Oak Ridge, Paducah, and Portsmouth are GOCO facilities administered through Oak Ridge Operations Office; Oak Ridge and Paducah managed for DOE by Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Ins.; Portsmouth GDP by Goodyear Atomic Corporation; Ports-



Figure 82 Aarial View Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant

he managed	
Oak Ridge, GDP (1986)	
e, Paducah,	
lg	lge, Paducah, arch 1985)

Gaseous Diffusion Plants (GDPs)

The enrichment complex consists of three gaseous diffusion plants: Paducah, Portsmouth, and Oak Ridge. Oak Ridge was placed on standby at the end of FY 1985. The gaseous diffusion plants operate as an integrated complex in order to provide the best use of these facilities. The Paducah plant serves as the bottom stage for the Portsmouth plant (and previously the Oak Ridge Plant), with most of the enriched product from Paducah shipped to Portsmouth for further enrichment. Portsmouth is the only plant capable of producing intermediate and high assay (i.e., high percentage of U-235) uranium. Oak Ridge is restricted to a maximum enrichment of about 4 percent and Paducah to a maximum of about 2 percent.¹

GDP Separative Work Capacity

The capacities of the three gaseous diffusion plants, with the recent Cascade Uprating and Cascade Improvement Programs (CIP/CUP), are:²

Oak Ridge:	7.7 million SWU/year
Paducah:	11.3 million SWU/year
Portsmouth:	8.3 million SWU/year
TOTAL:	27.3 million SWU/year.

Wm. R. Voigt, Jr., Acting Deputy Assistant Secretary, Usualiam Enrichment and Assess

most, DOE Diffice of Nuclear Energy, letter to Thomas B. Cochran, 13 August 62 3 A separative work unit (SWU) is a measure of work done in isotope enrichment (see Vol-

 in separative water data (over 0.15 a measure or water data in isotope encoded) (see Volume II, Chapter Fire). The OF program was initiated in 1971 to increase the combined, glant capacity by 5.5 million SWUyr at no increase in plant power. The CUP program was Figure 83 Aerial View Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant

At design conditions of the Cascade Upgrade Program (CUP), the plants have the following stream assays:

gram (CUP), the plants have the following stream assays: Paducah

Option	% U-235 Feed	% U-235 Product	% U-235 Tails
1.	0.711	1.0*	0.20
Portsmouth			
Option	% U-235 Feed	% U-235 Product	% U-235 Tails
1.	0.711	1.7	0.20
2.	1.0*	2.6	0.20
3.	various high assav feeds	3.2	0.20
4. 5.	various high assay feeds various high	various high assay products	0.20
	assay feeds	97.30 maximum	0.20

* Padacah product is shipped to the Portsmouth plant for further enrichment.

These assays represent the design conditions. Under actual operating conditions the feed and product assays would vary according to the assay of the material delivered to the plants and the actual product assay requirements of customers.

initiated in 1974 to increase plant capacity by approximately 4.0 million SWUyr, at a plant power increase of approximately 1300 Mev. Prior to CIPCUP the appacition were Oak Ridge 4.73 million SWU per year. Padoratic 7.31 million SWU per year, and Portsmonth 5.14 million SWU per year.



Figure 84 Integrated Three-Plant Operation Source: After All: Gaseous Ortugion Plant Operations, ORO-684, January 1982, p. 15.

Integrated Operation. An example of integrated operation of all three plants is shown in Figure 84. Product enriched to about 1.0 percent at Paducah was shipped to Oak Ridge and Portsmouth for additional enrichment. Typically, tails enriched to 0.3 percent were produced at Oak Ridge and Portsmouth and stored there. Occasionally higher assay tails were produced and shipped to Paducah for further stripping. The plants may also operate independently.

GDP Cascade Facilities

Oak Ridge. The Oak Ridge GDP (currently on standby) is housed in five buildings: K-25 (the original building and the usual designation for the entire Oak Ridge plant), K-27, K-29, K-31, and K-33 (see Figures 81 and 85). Construction of K-25 (2996 stages) was completed in August 1945, K-27 (540 stages) in January 1946, K-29 (300 stages) in January 1951, K-31 (600 stages) in December 1951, and K-33 (640 stages) in June 1954.

The K-25 and K-27 buildings were put on standby on 30 June 1964, the first facilities shutdown following President Johnson's announced cutback in nuclear materials production. (A small section of K-27 remained operating as a purge cascade for the GDP.) The rest of the Oak Ridge GDP was placed on standby at the end of FY 1985.

Paducah. The Paducah GDP was constructed between 1951 and 1954 on a 5000-acre site, including 1400 acres comprising the Kentucky Ordnance Works, 16 miles west of Paducah, Kentucky. There are 1812 separa-



Figure 85 Location of Facilities at the Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant

Source: PNL-4821 Draft, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, March 1983, p. 15.5

tion stages housed in five buildings: C-310 (completed January 1953; 60 stages), C-331 (completed February 1953; 400 stages), C-333 (completed November 1953; 480 stages), C-335 (completed April 1954; 400 stages), and C-337 (completed December 1954; 472 stages)³ (see Figures 86 and 87). The plant processes irradiated uranium feed as well as virgin material.⁴

Portsmouth. The cascades of the Portsmouth GDP were under construction between 1952 and 1956 and house 4080 stages in three buildings: X-326 (completed February 1956; 2340 stages), X-330 (completed July 1955; 1100 stages), and X-333 (completed November 1955; 640 stages)⁵ (see Figures 88 and 89). The Tails Withdrawal Facility is in the north east corner of the X-330 building and the Product Withdrawal Facility is in the south west corner of the X-326 building.⁶ The Portsmouth plant feed may include previously irradiated uranium.⁷

Pacific Northwest Laboratory, p. 17.1.
 Jbid., p. 17.5

³ AEC Gaseous Diffusion Plant Operations. ORO 664, January 1972.

⁴ Pacific Northwest Laboratory, PNL-4621 Draft, March 1943, p. 16.4.

⁵ ABC Gaseous Diffusion Plant Operations.



Figure 86 Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant. Source: PNL-4621 Draft, Pacific Northwest Laboratory, March 1983, pp. 15.2, 16.3.



Figure 87 Paducah Gaseous Diffusion Plant Site Plan Source: PNL-4621 Dreft, Pacific Northwest Lateratory, March 1983, pp. 18.2, 18.3

Advanced Isotope Separation

The DOE Advanced Isotope Separation (AIS) Program focused on three processes for enriching uranium in U-235: atomic vapor laser isotope separation (AVLIS), molecular vapor laser isotope separation (MLIS), and the plasma separation process (PSP). At the end of April 1982 AVLIS was selected over the other processes for further engineering development and demonstration at the LLNL.⁸ In June 1985 DOE chose AVLIS over the gas centrifuge as the process that would be developed to eventually augment or replace the gaseous diffusion plants. At the time of the decision only about five kg or uranium had been enriched to 3.2 percent in the AVLIS project.⁸

The next stage in the AVLIS uranium enrichment program is demonstration at the LLNL Special Isotope



Figure 88 Map of the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant

Source: PNL-4621 Draft. Pacific Northwest Laboratory. March 1983, pp. 17.2, 17.3.

Separation Laboratory (SISL) in 1988 in a 1 million SWU per year capacity module. This would be followed by construction of a full-scale 10 million SWU per year commercial facility, with startup in the mid-1990s at a project cost of \$1.2 to \$1.6 billion (FY 1985).¹⁰

Gas Centrifuge

Gas centrifuge technology R&D and testing was conducted by DOE at the K-25 site on the Oak Ridge Reservation, also the site of the Oak Ridge GDP. One hundred and twenty Set III gas centrifuges were installed and tested in the Centrifuge Plant Demonstration Facility (CPDF) in Oak Ridge. CPDF completed its mission and was shutdown.

The gas centrifuge enrichment plant (GCEP) at Portsmouth was originally scheduled for completion by 1994, with construction of eight process buildings at an estimated cost of \$9 billion.¹¹ When the plant was cancelled in June 1985 \$2.6 billion had already been spent.

The first increment of GCEP, with 2.2 million SWU capacity in two process buildings (each 1.1 million SWU), was planned for 1989. A portion of the first building, the first train of Set III machines (each building is divided into eight trains of 720 centrifuges each), went

8 The developers of the other candidate technologies are: MLIS—Los Alamos National Laboratory with support from Oak Ridge GDP, PSP—TRW, Los Angeles. All three technologies are still under development for isotope enrichment (see Volume II, Chapter Three).

Nuclear Fuel, 29 July 1985, p. 4.
 Nuclear Fuel, 29 July 1985, p. 3.

Niscard Place, 29 July 1985, p. 3
 Science, 19 August 1983, p. 730.

on-line to enrich uranium in 1985. Four trains of Set III machines were procured before termination. Each building was to house 5760 centrifuges.¹² When completed, the plant was to have an annual capacity that reflected the state of centrifuge development in the late 1980s, and the capacity was to be several times the 8.8 million SWU originally anticipated as the baseline Set II machines alone.¹³ Using Set IV machines developed at Oak Ridge would have raised the capacity to the 13.2 million SWU capacity level (1.65 million SWU per building). Eventually, use of advanced gas centrifuge (AGC) machines would have increased GCEP capacity to 26.4 million SWU after 1994 (3.3 million SWU per building).

Set III machines had a verified annual capacity of 200 SWU per machine, as demonstrated in the Centrifuge Plant Demonstration Facility (CPDF). Set IV machines were designed to produce about 300 SWU per year (1.5 times Set III) and, as of mid-1983, had been demonstrated at about 280 SWU. Set V machines were being designed to produce 400 to 600 SWU per year (up to 3 times Set III) and were to be ready for demonstration by early 1987.¹⁴

Comparative Costs

Comparative power requirements and SWU costs for the various enrichment technologies are as follows:¹⁵

	Power	
Enrichment Technology	Requirement kwh/SWU	Power Cost \$/SW
GD	2210	90-120
GC Baseline	110	90
GC AGC	110	40-60
AVLIS	110	25-50



Figure 89 Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plant Site Plan

Source: PNL-4821 Draft, Peoific Northwest Lakoretory, March 1983, pp. 17.2, 17.3.

Management

The Paducah, Portsmouth, and Oak Ridge plants are GOCO operations administered through the Oak Ridge Operations Office. Paducah and Oak Ridge GDPs are managed for DOE by Martin Marietta Energy Systems, Inc. under a contract that became effective 1 April 1984.¹⁶ Prior to that the DOE contractor was Union Carbide, Nuclear Division (UCCND) under a contract that expired 30 September 1983. The Portsmouth GDP is managed for DOE by the Goodyear Atomic Corporation under a contract that expires in 1988. The Portsmouth GCEP was to have been operated for DOE by Goodyear Atomic. UCCND was the key contractor in the Gas Centrifuge Program.

rance, Galifornia), and Soal Beach Navai Weapons Station. California, the University of Virginia, LLNL, and LANL: Hearings of the House Science and Technology Subcommittee to Energy Research and Production, Vol. VI, March 1982, p. 109.

 DOE, Consistent Enrichment, 1982 Annual Report, ORO-629, 16 See Cak Ridge Reservation.

¹² Nucleur Foel, 17 Fabruary 1984, p. 2. 13 Naciour Foel, 17 January 1985, p. 14.

Naciest Fiel, 17 January 1983. p. 14.
 The major centrifuge manufacturess were Boeing Engineering and Construction Company. Dok Ridge. Terrossee, Carrett Corporation, Sandusky, Ohio, and Goodynar Aerospece Corporation, Akron, Ohio. Advanced Ges Centrifuge and SkD and manufactures were also conducted by Affectearch Corporation (division of Garrett Corporation, Tar-
	Enrichme (million S	nt Production WU)	, Sales, and	Inventory	BUDGET: (\$ million) Oak Ridge Gaseous Diffusion Plant				
				Inventory		FY	PowerCost25	Total Oak Ridge GDP	
	End FY	Production	Sales	In-Process	Finished	1074			
					13 355	1971	21.3	55.0	
	1971	0.040	8.836		13.232	1972	23.3	63.4	
	1972	8.333	0.419		17.702	1973	44.9	100.3	
	1973	10.300	53.443		17.379	1974	55.2	135.8	
	1974	10.415	6.080		10.471	1975	85.6	183.3	
	1975	11.62/	30.140		19.471	1976*	189.6	319.3	
	1970	15.014	10.149	0.000	25.675	1977	151.8	370.5	
	1977	13.090	10.521	1.630	29,459	1978	138.2	334.8	
	1976	12.550	14 793	0.356	33.325	1979	159.9	357.3	
	1979	10.817	14.795	0.330	32.323	1980	181.5	420.4	
	1081	9.620	11.640	0.873	20 840	1081	106.0	456.6	
	1091	9.777	15 226	0.025	23.040	1002	220.2	5410	
	1081	10 177	15 026	0.151	10 064	1902	4/9.4	541.0	
	1084	11 348	12 338	3.043	18.082	1983	280.7		
	198518	10.4	11.2	1.3	17.0	198420	320.4	725.2	
	1000					1985		629.9	
					Price to	1986		673.3	
		For Defense Activites		G	overnment				
		Naval	Product	ion	Agencies	* 1976 was	a 15-month fiscal	year.	
	End FY	Reactors	Reactors Reactors		(S/SWU)				
	1071				1	p	aducah Gaseons D	iffusion Plant	
	1971					EV	Barro Castas	Table to to to the	
	1973						PowerCost	Total Paducan GDP	
	1974					1071	F4 0	70.4	
	1975					1971	51.0	72.1	
	1976					1972	65.4	92.6	
	1927					1973	77.0	107.9	
	1978					1974	88.6	130.6	
	1979					1975	140.1	197.6	
	1980	0.819	-			1976*	280.2	410.1	
	1981	1.410				1977	235.6	405.1	
	1982	1.210				1978	224.1	373.7	
	1983	1.212		90		1979	254.0	386.1	
	1984	1,2920	0.2223	93		1980	260.2	373 3	
	198518	1.2621	0.232	96	06	1081	272.2	350.5	
	1986	2.4722	0.122	82	12-100.8024	1002	250 4	497.0	
						1002	350.4	437.0	
						1903	354.1		
	17 DOE, Urani 18 Private out	munication, Oak Ridge	of Reports. Operations Office			1984**	379.9	446.7	
	19 KAC, PY 1962 EWDA, Part 5, p. 1130.					1985		468.6	
	20 HAC, FY 15 21 HAC, FY 15 22 HAC, FY 15 23 HAC, FY 15 23 HAC, FY 15 24 Deal price.	666 EWDA, Part 4, p. 45 665 EWDA, Part 4, p. 45 666 EWDA, Part 4, pp. 1 664 EWDA, Part 4, pp. 1 5100,80 per SWU for e	90. 23: FY 1986, p. 376 376, 378, 391, 1044 296, 316, 490, 11AC nrichment greater 1	FY 1986 EWDA.	1986 * 1976 was	a 15-month Fisca	464.5 Year.		

25 DOE, Uniofive Exectionesis, 1984 Alianal Report, DOE/08-856.

Nuclear Weapons Databook, Volume III 131

Uranium Enrichment Enterprise

25 Cost of power supplied to the Caucada.

Uranium Enrichment Enterprise

	Portsmouth	GDP	PERSONNE	L:28			
FY	PowerCost ²⁵	Total Portsmouth GDP		Oak Ridge	Paducah	Portsmouth	-
1971	19.5	39.3	FY	GDP	GDP	GDP	Total
1972	40.2	64.2	1971	2750	1177	1371	5298
1973	46.2	75.9	1972	2783	1226	1374	5383
1974	54.8	93.2	1973	3077	1293	1464	5834
1975	91.0	155.9	1974	3490	1477	1633	6600
1976	162.1	292.6	1975 (Sep)	4849	1860	2408	9117
1977	177.6	293.6	1976	5872	2289	2793	10954
1978	192.3	323.1	1977	6333	2464	2961	11758
1979	251.1	374.2	1978	6275	2404	3006	11685
1980	125.4	247.6	1979	6177	2291	3078	11546
1981	147.2	293.4	1980	6031	1938	3144	11113
1982	136.8	451.5	1981	5236	1621	3285	10143
1983	131.9		1982	4527	1411	3224	9162
1984	173.4	337.7	1983	4313	1353	3016	8682
1985		423.5	1984	3963	1314	3125	8402
1986		250.7	1985 (Mar)	3869	1289	3109	8267

ASSETS

The enrichment facilities of the gaseous diffusion plants cover a ground area of 271.1 acres: 97.7 acres at Portsmouth, 73.7 acres at Paducah, and 104.7 acres at Oak Ridge (including 56.1 acres by the K-25 and K-27 buildings). The total investment in plant and capital equipment at the three gaseous diffusion plant sites at the end of FY 1984 was \$3.86 billion: \$1.30 billion at Portsmouth, \$1.39 billion at Paducah, and \$1.16 bilion at Portsmouth. The investment in the cancelled GCEP was about \$2.6 billion.27

27 DOE, Uranium Enrichment, 1984 Annual Report, DOE/OR-856.

.